

Appendix 1

Cassava's Allegations of Falsity & Actual Malice as to the Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>We are writing to express grave concerns regarding Cassava Sciences as a sponsor of clinical studies using Simufilam to treat Alzheimer's disease (AD). These concerns arise from an assessment of virtually every aspect of their program that has been made available for public scrutiny. We find serious deficiencies in the scientific integrity of the sponsor, Cassava Sciences, who exhibits signs of misleading behavior.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.q.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 1.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 162–167	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>We show, using publicly available evidence, that Cassava Sciences has not fulfilled the responsibilities that your agency requires of sponsors in the conduct of clinical studies and the monitoring of patient's safety (21 CFR 312).</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.r.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 1.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 162–167	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>More importantly, we reveal a pattern of deliberate, coordinated misconduct involving</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 162–167	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p>

¹ All emphases have been removed.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
both Cassava Sciences and their academic collaborator at CUNY, Dr. Hoau-Yan Wang. (FAC ¶¶ 161.s.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 1.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
As documented below, our analysis identifies numerous critical issues which include: i) fabrication of pre-clinical and clinical evidence across the entire Simufilam program[;] ii) inadequate and unreliable safety studies for Simufilam[;] iii) serious misconduct in the analysis and reporting of clinical trial data[;] iv) improper and opaque study conduct by the sponsor and its collaborators. (FAC ¶¶ 161.t.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 1.)	FAC ¶¶ 134, 162–167	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
What follows is a description of the method by which, we allege, Cassava Sciences has either obfuscated or fabricated data during these clinical trials; from Phase 2a (Ph2a) to the ongoing Open Label (OL) study. (FAC ¶¶ 161.u.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 1.)	FAC ¶¶ 134, 162–167	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Where direct access to raw data was not available to the sponsor—mainly data from the cognitive assessment of patients—elaborate post-hoc exclusion criteria and suspiciously large alterations in patient population characteristics were devised to alter outcomes. (FAC ¶¶ 161.v.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 1.)	FAC ¶¶ 134, 162–167	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
On the other hand, we demonstrate that the CSF biomarker data generated by Cassava scientific advisory board (SAB) member Dr. Wang through an opaque process, yielded improbable values. This leads to the strong suspicion that the data have been entirely fabricated. (FAC ¶¶ 161.w.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 1.)	FAC ¶¶ 134, 162–167	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Given these issues, there is a material concern regarding the sponsor's credibility and very	FAC ¶¶ 134, 162–167	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>real risk of exposing thousands of patients to a compound with unknown risk, for which there is no evidence of clinical benefit to justify this risk.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.x.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 1.)</p>		<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Our investigation was triggered by the striking inaccuracies, image manipulation and incomprehensible rationale of Cassava Sciences' pre-clinical research referenced in the CPs. Putting aside that literally no other lab has replicated Cassava's putative findings regarding Simufilam or a connection between Filamin A-function in AD, we call into question the logic and biophysical plausibility of the proposed mechanism and the conduct of the laboratory studies supporting this drug candidate.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.y.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 2.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 162–167	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>These simple observations evoke profound and troubling questions about whether Simufilam actually binds its supposed target, and whether the molecule was discovered in the manner claimed by Cassava Sciences.</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 175–178	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(FAC ¶¶ 174.c.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 2.)		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Each of these publications has been flatted on [PubPeer] for possible image manipulation by, among others, intentional expert in scientific fraud detection Dr. Elisabeth Bik. The central author common to these papers is none other than Dr. Wang.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 174.d.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 3.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 175–178	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-323, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The biological implausibility of the Simufilam story extends to Cassava's clinical claims.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 174.e.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 3.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 175–178	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>We confidently assert that the proposed mechanism of action for Simufilam is irrational and not supported by accepted evidence. Prospective investigators and patients in the currently recruiting studies must be clearly alerted to the highly controversial nature of the trial immediately, and the preclinical rationale in the Investigator's Brochure provided to the IRB and to investigators must be updated, pending the findings of multiple investigations into Dr. Wang's reported misconduct currently underway.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 174.f.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 4.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 175–178	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Cassava Sciences frequently asserts that Simufilam is well-tolerated and safe. However, an evaluation of available data reveals little rational basis for initial dose selection and no consideration of potential on-target toxicity. Moreover, the clinical studies that form the basis for the presumption of Simufilam safety were conducted by investigators whose deficiencies in trial conduct have already been well documented by FDA investigations.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 168.c.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 5.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 169–173	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Remarkably, for a drug intended for chronic use, the Phase 1 safety study tested only a single administration of the drug, with subjects monitored for only one week. The doses studied were chosen based on an estimate of a safe dose from a NOAEL in preclinical toxicology studies (PTI-125-01 Protocol) but apparently without regard to the purported mechanism of action or pharmacology.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 168.d.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 5.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 169–173	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Of even greater concern, safety data from the Ph2a and Ph2b studies cannot be relied upon due to concerns raised about the conduct of a key investigator only very recently and while Cassava Sciences' studies were ongoing at the same clinic.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 168.e.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 5.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 169–173	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>It is alarming to observe that one of only two investigators common to both studies, Dr. Evelyn Lopez-Brignoni, received a Warning Letter from the CDER Office of Scientific Investigations in March 2021, describing conduct that “raises concerns about the validity and integrity of the data collected at [the] site.” While this inspection and enforcement action appear to have been associated with a different, but contemporaneous trial, it implies</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 259–265	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>that the conduct at this site was woefully deficient.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 258.a.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 5.)</p>		
<p>If similar deficiencies in dosing and trial conduct occurred in the Cassava trials at this site under the supervision of Lopez-Brignoni, neither efficacy nor safety data reported by the Sponsor for the Ph2A or Ph2b Simufilam trials can be relied upon.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 258.b.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 5.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 259–265	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Biomarker values reported across the entire Simufilam clinical program are biologically and statistically implausible. While the CPs allege errors or manipulation in the Ph2b Study (Supplement 2, Paragraph 4), we demonstrate a continuous, consistent pattern of data fabrication that involves key clinical biomarkers; including inflammatory cytokines that could provide insights into the safety of Simufilam administration. Beyond the improbable values, we discovered some questionable research practices with the most</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 211-214	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants’ Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava’s Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava’s Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>notable instance being, of course, the re-analysis of Ph2b samples.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 210.g.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 6.)</p>		
<p>This unusual “re-do” of the bio-marker analysis has already been documented in two CPs filed to [the] FDA, however we note several additional concerns around this decision.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 210.h.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 6.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 211-214	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>This belated dissatisfaction raises strong suspicions that Cassava Sciences only deemed the lab’s analysis to be inadequate after receiving undesired results. As a consequence of this “re-do” decision, the majority of the bio-marker data found in the Clinicaltrials.gov records originate from a second analysis of the samples performed under Dr. Wang’s supervision.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 210.i.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 6.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 211-214	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants’ Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava’s Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava’s Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>We emphasize this aberration from the norm as it speaks to the motives behind the company’s insistence on Dr. Wang’s analysis. (FAC ¶¶ 210.j.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 6.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 134, 211-214</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Beyond the CSF readings, more issues arise with the CSF/plasma albumin ratios reported by the company. . . This raises serious questions as to the specificity and accuracy of the unorthodox quantification approach used, and to whether these numbers were even the result of any sample analysis. (FAC ¶¶ 215.d.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 7.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 134, 216-221</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>We believe the intent of his unusual albumin analysis was to support this “unprecedented discovery” with a publication utilizing Dr. Wang’s questionable method in Western blot image “preparation.”</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 134, 216-221</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(FAC ¶¶ 215.e.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 7.)		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-323, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Another distinctly worrying pattern emerges when surveying the data reported by Cassava for their Ph2B and Open Label (OL) study of biomarkers analyzed by ELISA in Dr. Wang's lab . . .</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.f.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 8.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 216-221	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>We are left to conclude that the value reported may have been fabricated to simulate those in relevant literature albeit from a reference using Luminex rather than the claimed ELISA. This conclusion is further backed by finding discussed below and would be consistent with the allegations of Dr. Wang's systematic manipulation of Western Blots.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.g.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 9.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 216-221	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-323, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-336, 339, 342, 346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
After reviewing the literature for the remaining biomarkers we uncovered more values consistent with the pattern of clumsy data fabrication described so far. (FAC ¶¶ 215.h.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 9.)	FAC ¶¶ 134, 216-221	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
The above adds to an extended series of implausible and entirely unrealistic values reported for nearly every CSF biomarker analyzed by Cassava Sciences. (FAC ¶¶ 215.i.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 9.)	FAC ¶¶ 134, 216-221	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Finally, it is readily apparent that the SD values of mean change reported for the Ph2A	FAC ¶¶ 134, 216-221	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>study [] are extremely narrow and unrealistic. Particularly, a 1% SD in the mean change for the inflammatory cytokines II-6, II-1β and TNF-a over a 28-day interval is not consistent with human biology.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.j.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 10.)</p>		<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>A hallmark of fraudulent data is inconsistency. Comparing the baseline values reported for patients recruited in the Ph2B with those in OL study we find an inconsistent shift in the mean values of biomarkers most egregiously in the values for Neurogranin, sTrem2 and hmgb 1.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 239.a.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 11.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 240-245	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>This dramatic change in baseline values is puzzling and cannot be attributed to a different patient population or even a plausible effect from prior Simufilam dosing, as the values are higher for the OL study patients.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 239.b.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 11.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 240-245	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Such discrepancies between studies using the same lab and assays again raise suspicion that the reported values are not genuine.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 239.c.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 11.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 240-245	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>While individual cases records are not available, it can be reasonably assumed that subjects who were too ill to comply (scores too high) were in fact non-responsive due to floor effect and those “too healthy” (scores too low)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 223-226	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants’ Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava’s Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava’s Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>could also show no improvement due to a ceiling effect. It is not unreasonable to assume that through arbitrary and post-hoc selection of which scores defines these boundaries (11 and 54) the sponsor was able to arrive at the desired trend.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 222.a.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 13.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>These obvious violations of the data treatment plan are clearly designed to skew the data in a favorable direction and obscure the lack of benefit of Simufilam on cognition.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 222.b.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 13.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 223-226	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>This pattern of misleading the public, prospective patients and investigators through questionable reporting and data manipulation has continued past the initial Phase 2 and into the current Open Label extension.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 239.d.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 15.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 240-245	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>When compared to the reported baseline standard deviation of 7.7 points and the observed improvement of 3 points, a difference of 13.75 points between dropped-out and newly included patients is suspiciously large. Whereas in Ph2b Cassava was able to obscure the effect of Simufilam through imaginative use of outlier exclusion criteria, in the Open Label Study they appear to have swapped subjects from 6 to 9 months in order to include those with extremely high ADAS-Cog scores.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 239.e.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 15.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 240-245	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The skewing of clinical data has further implications. Because the sponsor has claimed there is “benefit,” they extend and exacerbate this claim by suggesting there are biomarkers indicative of improvement. This is misleading since no clinical improvement was demonstrated according to protocol. Thus, clinical investigators along with patients being recruited into the two Phase 3 studies are being misled into believing there are biomarkers indicating benefit based on a study where no benefit was shown.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 239.f.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 15.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 240-245	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Turning our attention to the plasma-based pTau and SavaDx biomarkers (outcomes 11 and 12 of Ph2b), we see the same degree of post-hoc data manipulation in violation of the Study Protocol as in the other outcome measures discussed earlier. Plasma pTau is the only biomarker that has been analyzed by an external lab, not by Dr. Wang. Therefore, they are data-points which Cassava Sciences cannot interfere with directly. Manipulation would likely occur indirectly through post-hoc sample data manipulation. This appears to be the case as outlined below.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.k.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 17.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 216-221	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The Analysis Population Description for these analyses is complex and arbitrary in terms of data exclusion and has no clinical or statistical rationale.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.l.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 17.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 216-221	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>That these two exclusions schemes differ from the schemes (also post-hoc and in violation of</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 216-221	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>the Statistical Analysis Plan) used in the other biomarker data treatments further supports the conclusion that the aim here was not a better understanding of the effects of Simufilam, but rather the obfuscation.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.m.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 17.)</p>		<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-329, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>These behaviors, beyond directly violating the SAP, reflect a clear attempt to obscure evaluation of the effect of Simufilam. Contrary to the Sponsor's public assertions, Simufilam treatment is not free of risk and in fact possible side-effects include convulsions and changes to liver size and function [].</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 168.f.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 22.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 169-173	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>In sum, we have presented a series of evidence that directly challenge the integrity of research findings reported by Cassava Sciences during its entire clinical program. Thee involve: i) Questions on the validity of the data presented and published . . . ii) Evidence of methodical post-hoc data manipulation . . . iii) Systematic</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 162-167	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>attempts either to obscure or over-state research findings and behavior entirely incompatible with the conduct of scientific research and clinical trials. . .</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.z.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 22.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Given the incongruous and apparently manipulated clinical and preclinical data, the Simufilam IND does not contain sufficient information to properly assess the risks to subjects.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 168.g.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 22.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 169-173	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>In light of the misleading and erroneous clinical and preclinical results communicated to date, the Investigator Brochures for the Phase 3 trials are necessarily misleading and erroneous and require amendment.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 246.b.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 22.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 134, 247-251	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316, 327-328, 330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–336, 339, 342, 346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–354, 358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>The pattern of errors and misconduct in measuring and reporting biomarker and cognitive outcomes, as well as reliance on clinical investigators whose conduct has been flagged by FDA inspections and Warning Letters, calls into question whether the investigators leading the Simufilam programs are qualified to conduct the trial.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 258.c.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 22.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 134, 259–265</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Cassava Sciences, through persistent obfuscation and exaggeration of the effects of Simufilam, have exposed study participants to incalculable risk with unknown consequences for their health and misled investigators and patients into choices that affect their wellbeing. This presents a clear and ongoing harm to the public . . .</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 168.h.; Ex. 6 to FAC, at 23.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 134, 169-173</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Cassava Sciences: A Shambolic Charade</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.aa.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at Cover Page.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 162-167</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Cassava Sciences is an unprecedented Scientific Charade (FAC ¶¶ 161.bb.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 3.)	FAC ¶¶ 137, 162-167	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
[Cassava Sciences has] all the ingredients of: (*) A web of shady characters and cronies[;] (*) Nefarious development[;] (*) Fabrication & manipulation of data[;] (*) Excessive unsubstantiated claims. (FAC ¶¶ 161.cc.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 3.)	FAC ¶¶ 137, 162-167	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Cassava Outdoes the Greatest Biomedical Dumpster Fires</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.dd.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 4.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 162-167</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Cassava pulls together an unprecedented combination of circumstances and behavior: [(1)] Both pre-clinical and clinical data are compromised, starting from IND submission [; (2)] Cassava still denies issues [; (3)] Received ~20M in NIH funding [; (4)] Misleading results were hyped to investors to sell equity.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.ee.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 4.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 162-167</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Our concerns arise from an assessment of virtually every aspect of Cassava's programs available for public scrutiny. Beyond the misconduct documented in the Citizen's Petition we reveal a pattern of deliberate, coordinated misconduct involving both</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 162-167</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants’ Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava’s Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava’s Allegations of Actual Malice
Cassava Sciences and their academic collaborator at CUNY, Dr. Hoau-Yan Wang. (FAC ¶¶ 161. ff.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 4.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
We first review Cassava’s suspicious history and the obvious scientific misconduct pervading all of Cassava’s preclinical science underlying the “discovery” of Simufilam. (FAC ¶¶ 174.g.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 5.)	FAC ¶¶ 137, 175–178	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
Next, we present highlights from our full letter of the egregious data anomalies and manipulation of both the biomarker and cognitive measurements from Cassava’s Phase 2 trials. (FAC ¶¶ 210.k.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 5.)	FAC ¶¶ 137, 211-214	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Shady Players and Shady History[:] A Tormented Corporate History[:] Impotent, Conflicted Scientific Advisory Board[:] Claims Too Good to be True[:] Dr. Wang's Fantasy.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.gg.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 6.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 162-167</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The Cassava Gang: back together for one last heist . . .</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.hh.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 8.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 162-167</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>[Scientific Advisory Board] MIA: Old Friends and Conflicted Cronies (FAC ¶¶ 161.ii.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 9.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 162-167</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 337-339, 342-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>The foundation of Simufilam's action is biologically implausible. (FAC ¶¶ 174.h.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 13.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 175–178</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Dr. Wang is also an inventor on Cassava's key Simufilam patents; "inequitable conduct" such as faking data will render those patents invalid. (FAC ¶¶ 161.jj.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 14.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 162-167</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>All of [Cassava's] dubious claims rely on Dr. Wang's work using fabricated scientific data, and have been assembled into a just-so story to justify the Simufilam IND.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 174.i.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 14.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 137, 175–178	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Wang's fabrication spans his entire career, including collaborations independent of Cassava[;] Wang's fabrications are egregious and undeniable, and now under investigation by City University of New York.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 179.x.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 15.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 137, 180–188	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>

Dot.com Defendants’ Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava’s Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava’s Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>The pattern of systematic data manipulation and fabrication is consistent with the findings of our report.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 179.y.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 16.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 180-188</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>There is now no serious question that the majority of Dr. Wang’s work—including that with Cassava—contains fabrications.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 179.z.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 16.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 180-188</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Key Cassava Phase 2 Clinical Site under FDA Scrutiny</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 258.d.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 17.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 259–265</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 337-339, 342-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>
<p>Dr. Evelyn Lopez-Brignoni, a clinical investigator for the Simufilam Ph2a & Ph2b studies, received a Warning Letter (related to a different study) documenting unaddressed FDA inspection concerns about the validity and integrity of data collected at the site . . . Neither safety nor efficacy data from studies supervised [by] Lopez-Brignoni can be trusted!"</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 258.e.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 17.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 259-265</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 337-339, 342-346</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>
<p>A key clinical site for the Ph2a study, upon which the presumption of Simufilam safety is based, was the subject of FDA concerns about integrity and reliability, documented in a rare Warning Letter to the clinical investigator, Dr. Lopez.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 258.f.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 18.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 259-265</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 337-339, 342-346</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>

Dot.com Defendants’ Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava’s Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava’s Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Unreliable and Nonsensical Clinical Data[:] Dr. Wang and the Miraculous ‘Re-Do’[:] Phase 2: Impossible Biomarker Data[:] Phase 2: Shifting Cognitive Goalposts[:] Uncertain Safety</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 210.1.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 19.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 211-214</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>The same Dr. Wang who single-handedly reversed Cassava’s fortune, fixed the failed biomarkers.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.n.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 20.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 216-221</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>On review of the reported Phase 2b data; 7 of 9 CSF biomarker readings are either: (*) entirely inconsistent with scientific literature[;] (*) in ranges incompatible with human biology[;] (*) compatible only with alternative analytical methods then those reportedly employed.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.o.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 21.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 2137, 16-221</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Dr. Wang's lab alone analysed [sic] the biomarker data. . . using his questionable methods to produce incomprehensible readings.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.p.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 21-22.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 216-221</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Cassava's Unrealistic Claims . . . Significant improvement in neurodegeneration . . . Values inconsistent with published research . . . Inexplicable Tau and AB Values.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.q.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 23.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 216-221</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>
<p>Cassava's Unrealistic Claims . . . Significant improvement in inflammation biomarkers . . . Values inconsistent with published research . . . Questionable Biomarker Readings.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.r.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 23.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 216-221</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Cassava's Unrealistic Claims . . . Significant improvement in BBB integrity . . . Data acquired through unorthodox, DIY method. . . Non-sensical Albumin Levels.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 215.s.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 23.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 216-221</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>
<p>Cassava's Unrealistic Claims . . . Improvement in CSF & plasma biomarkers. . . Reported values are unrealistic . . . Questionable Biomarker Readings.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 231.d.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 23.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 232-238</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>
<p>Cassava's Unrealistic Claims . . . Concomitant reduction in CSF & plasma neurogranin . . . Neurogranin in plasma is not a biomarker of AD . . . Questionable Biomarker Readings.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 231.e.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 23.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 232-238</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
Cassava's Unrealistic Claims . . . Significant improvement in neurodegeneration & neuroinflammation biomarkers . . . Baseline values inconsistent with previous Ph2b reporting . . . Inconsistent Baseline Readings. (FAC ¶¶ 239.g.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 23.)	FAC ¶¶ 137, 240–245	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
In our view, the failure of the original analysis was choreographed to justify the analysis of samples by Dr. Wang's lab who could produce desirable outcomes[.] In signature fashion, the fabrication of results becomes evidence upon basic scrutiny[.] The attempted simulation of ELISA results based on data from Luminex assays is, like Dr. Wang's photoshopped westerns, comical and grave at the same time[.] The choice of WB method to measure albumin ratio is likely an attempt to publish "film evidence" in support of the unprecedented findings of BBB integrity improvement[.]	FAC ¶¶ 137, 211–214	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(FAC ¶¶ 210.m.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 24.)		
<p>Ph2b was NOT statistically significant despite data being heavily massaged</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 222.c.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 26.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 137, 223-226	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Ongoing Open Label study results appear to have been gamed with Questionable Research Practices</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 239.h.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 26.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 137, 240-245	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Cassava created exclusion criteria AFTER the data was analyzed . . . Each assay had a customized mix of exclusion criteria applied . . . As much as 40% of data was creatively removed.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 222.d.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 28.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 223-226</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>
<p>Yet another phenomenal, unprecedented breakthrough by Cassava . . . with zero external validation.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.kk.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 32.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 162-167</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Cassava fabricated the failure of sample analysis by external, accredited lab and avoid the reporting of clinical endpoints (IL-1β) to main . . . Closer inspection of the biomarker data generated by Dr. Wang show [sic] clear evidence of fabrication in an effort to produce favorable readings.</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 211-214</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(FAC ¶¶ 210.n.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 34.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
In an effort to manipulate those Phase 2 study outcomes which were out of Dr. Wang's reach (cognition and plasma tests), Cassava Sciences intentionally used Questionable Research practices such as patient cherry picking and arbitrary outlier definition in order to obtain favorable results in patients' cognition data. (FAC ¶¶ 222.e.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 34.)	FAC ¶¶ 137, 223–226	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
The pattern of errors and misconduct in measuring and reporting biomarker and cognitive outcomes, as well as the reliance on clinical investigators whose conduct has been flagged by FDA inspections and Warning Letters, calls into question whether the investigators leading the Simufilam program are qualified to conduct the trial. (FAC ¶¶ 222.f.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 35.)	FAC ¶¶ 137, 223–226	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
In light of the misleading and erroneous clinical and preclinical results communicated to date, the Investigator Brochures for the	FAC ¶¶ 137, 247–251	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Phase 3 trials are necessarily misleading and erroneous and require amendment.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 246.c.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 35.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Cassava Sciences has failed in its responsibilities, and their egregious behavior meets multiple specific criteria that justify imposing a Clinical Hold under 21 CFR 312.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.1l.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 35.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 162–167</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>[Cassava Sciences is an] astonishing story of sleazy drug development that potentially endangers AD patients.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 168.i.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 3.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 137, 169–173</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Cassava's ongoing clinical charade makes a mockery of scientific standards, clinical trial conduct, and the regulators who are entrusted to protect the integrity of the medical research system and rights of patients. (FAC ¶¶ 168.j.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 4.)	FAC ¶¶ 137, 169-173	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
We offer a brief background and summary of the key issues and questions that we have identified, including, (*) fabrication of pre-clinical and clinical evidence across the entire Simufilam program[;] (*) inadequate and unreliable safety studies[;] (*) improper and opaque study conduct by Cassava and their collaborators[;] (*) serious misconduct in the analysis and reporting of clinical trial data. (FAC ¶¶ 168.k.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 5.)	FAC ¶¶ 137, 169-173	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Cassava claims Simufilam is safe, but data suggests a cavalier attitude towards safety, a calculated avoidance of critical studies, and dependence on unreliable investigators. (FAC ¶¶ 168.l.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 18.)	FAC ¶¶ 137, 169-173	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Given the incongruous and apparently manipulated clinical and preclinical data, the Simufilam IND does not contain sufficient information to properly assess the risks to subjects.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 168.m.; Ex. 7 to FAC, at 35.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 137, 169-173	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>SavaDX Exposed: A revolutionary diagnostic for Alzheimer's Disease or a scam of scientifically illiterate investors?</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 252.c.; Ex. 10 to FAC, at Cover.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 151, 253-257	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Discovered emails suggest numbers totally fabricated = Fraud?</p>	FAC ¶¶ 151, 253-257	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(FAC ¶¶ 252.d.; Ex. 10 to FAC, at 14.)		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>We find the implied MOA and scientific rationale (*) Laughably unsubstantiated[;] (*) Inconsistent with Cassava claims so far[;] (*) Contrary to FlnA functions in literature.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 161.eee.; Ex. 10 to FAC, at 16.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 151, 162-167	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Emails show both Cassava & Wang were NOT BLINDED during the open-label study . . . Emails retrieved from a FOIL request to CUNY expose Cassava and the Wang Lab as being unblinded during sample analysis, prior to data presentation and while study is ongoing.</p> <p>(FAC ¶¶ 239.n.; Ex. 12 to FAC, at 3.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 157, 240-245	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Hence, whether a patient is ON or OFF the drug is known to the person analyzing samples. This could allow Wang* to decide</p>	FAC ¶¶ 157, 240-245	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p>

Dot.com Defendants’ Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava’s Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava’s Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>what sample measurements “should be.” *Wang is currently under investigation for scientific misconduct. (FAC ¶¶ 239.o.; Ex. 12 to FAC, at 4.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>If unblinding is deliberate and/or not revealed, that greatly increases its seriousness, placing it in the research misconduct arena. FDA has a zero tolerance policy in this area. (FAC ¶¶ 239.p.; Ex. 12 to FAC, at 5.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 157, 240–245	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>There is a risk of biomarker data manipulation. (*) Lab personnel know subject ID and site PLUS dosing status (Day 1 vs. 6 Month)[;] (*) Wang has clear [conflict of interest] as Cassava SAB member, stockholder and lead Simufilam researcher[;] (*) Wang is under investigation for data manipulation. (FAC ¶¶ 239.q.; Ex. 12 to FAC, at 7.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 157, 240–245	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Imagine spending \$4m/quarter for defense lawyers rather than \$50k to an academic lab to run a binding study... \$sava 🤪</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569025179992350721</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 120.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>It's that it's all made up! Starting from 15 years ago, right up to the P2b & OL, and Remi know it</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569027601292996608</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 120.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 198-203, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>It is interesting that Wang is no longer trying to fabricate new data to deal with these. Maybe CUNY has stuck a fork in that, or maybe Wang and his counsel now see the writing on the wall. \$SAVA @jbeidel</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1569322745904906240 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 120.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
I guess the editors don't appreciate it when somebody made up data and lied to them about 6 other papers https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1569327236632772610 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 120.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 198–203, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I guess \$4m/quarter gets you lawyers that tell you to stop committing more fraud. They've got enough on their hands to deal with. Good call @jbeidel 😊 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569310669551579139 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 120.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I should never have doubted him, but Remi is proving himself capable of presenting a more vacuous talk at HCW than Shankar. \$SAVA \$OCGN	FAC ¶¶ 162–164, 175–178, 198–203	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>"it worked.. but it didn't work" \$SAVA the data is all made up, but we have no debt https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1569777177222160384 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>You'd think Lindsay would have made him practice, and she could have explained some of the fake \$SAVA science that Remi claims not to fully understand. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1569840401493680128 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Remi says in his \$sava presentation that SavaDX "worked, but it didn't work" 🤔 Remi this looks like the single best blood assay for Alzheimer's EVER seen, are you saying ITS ALL MADE UP? https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569783214956335106 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Who tf describes a standard deviation as “very honest”? I’ll tell you, REMI the CEO of \$sava (I wonder what was on his mind that led him to use “honest” as a way to describe his data 🙄)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569793506373156867</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>This 🖐️ is a Dr. Steven Arnold (Harvard and former \$sava SAB member) laboratory product. I wonder if he has any thoughts on his work product being trashed as too variable and in need of re-working the methods? I won’t hold my breath for an answer 🙄 (because it’s BS 🙄)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569800636085985289</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>you don’t even need the two proteins measured. Protein 1 will diagnose AD with 100% accuracy.... it’s amazing! (in a Theranos-kind of way 🙄) \$sava</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569803149229039626 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
Oh look, it seems that SavaDX collaborator Joel Ross is an admitted crook in the largest insider trade in history. A perfect fit for \$sava and Steve Arnold 👍 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1570041764563525633 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)	FAC ¶¶ 267-272, 274-280	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–307 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
except Theranos only defrauded investors and patients, not the government https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1570553239720046592 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
\$SAVA chumps getting played again, with another pump based on two week old non-news The SEC did a major investigation, and is not going ahead with enforcement at the moment. Score one for the bulls. Remember the SEC closed early investigations into Madoff too.	FAC ¶¶ 267-272	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I suspect the SEC may revisit things after the other agencies investigating are done..</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572268769765953536</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>as with everything about \$SAVA, IT'S ALL MADE UP™</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572321603341484035</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Tide has not turned. The science is fraudulent and the drug is fake. It ticks me off when I am up 5x on my hedges though.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572430656797573120</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>SEC deciding they could not take enforcement action on violations of the securities law right now has zero bearing on the drug being fake or potential violation of other law.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572434376574603266</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 267-272</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>
<p>and FDA gets a lot of things wrong. Reality still exists. The drug is fake, Wang is a fraud, and the \$SAVA charade is going to come crashing down one of these days.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572445121509232643</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 123.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 198-203, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Play stupid games, win stupid prizes.</p> <p>All of these \$SAVA / Wang adjacent cheats and frauds are going to get hoist by their own petards.</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>@MicrobiomDigest slapped down Talbot's pathetic excuses very effectively. The eraser tool artifacts are my favorite.</p> <p>https://pubpeer.com/publications/603286311C3DC6766716B01565CA72#13</p> <p>didn't any of these people learn in kindergarten that you get in WAY MORE TROUBLE IF YOU KEEP LYING AFTER BEING CAUGHT? \$SAVA</p> <p>and that goes double if you continue to lie after being caught by the DOJ, @lindsaybbar @hoauyanwang \$SAVA what do you think, @jbeidel? From your SDNY experience, is lying to the FBI an effective strategy for somebody under criminal investigation?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572628086545387526</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 123.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>When you need fake Westerns, Wang is your man.</p> <p>When you need signaling data from dead frozen tissue, call Konrad.</p> <p>Seems like everybody in AD at @PennMedicine (and then Rush) knew it (Arnold, Hahn, Arvanitakis, etc.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>The nexus is that both Wang and Talbot trained and worked with the same group of asleep-at-the-switch senior people at @PennMedicine @PennNeurology where they were encouraged / tolerated in development of their unique fabrication skillsets</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572654213301260289</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 123.)</p>		<p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>it looks more like he did it in MS Paint²</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572733414415159296</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>

² This tweet is in reference to western blot images.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>it is not about shortcomings or a questionable track record or chance of success. The drug is a 100% fraud.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1573080297587245056</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Does fraud have 2 sides?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1573051972642537474</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Nope, I mean fraud \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1573053896326631426</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
this is not a ghostwritten clinical trial though.. this is just made up biochemistry https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1573348275331153921 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
If you are going to conjure up a fake drug, make it some pleiotropic and confusing natural product. Don't discover a femtomolar ligand in a directed screen to bind a specific peptide. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1573437422943223808 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>"Falling off a cliff" describes what one could see as "non-responders" age and effect an ADAS-Cog score by TIME. But his implication (lie) is that this would be expected in the update, which will be adding more subjects (N=200, and he's seen this data), NOT more time 😊 \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1573395697373945856</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>
<p>Remi was being completely misleading, you can't deny that. OL data > data which doesn't exist, imo 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1573447687814664192</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>
<p>YES! the simplest explanation of the biomarker data (and a 20 year history) is that Wang made up the numbers. The alternative explanation that he reversed AD and no one in science cares enough to double check and he</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>can't be bothered to repeat or speak is laughable. @jbeidel</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1573755145766191110</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 125.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Well \$dsgn is not the 5 alarm, screaming, in your face, insult to your intelegence FraudCo that \$sava is, but I think @AnsariLab owes us another round on explaining the issues. I mean this is @ScienceMagazine not some silly rag like @SfNtweets @MarinaP63 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1574398726558334976</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 125.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It doesn't halt cognitive decline either, I'm afraid, because all the 'science' behind it was faked by a crazy fabulist, and it is a completely imaginary drug that doesn't even engage its (never-validated) target.</p> <p>Congratulations to them..</p> <p>has nothing to do with SAVA or their fake drug</p> <p>Simuflimflam has a totally different (imaginary) mechanism</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1574916368499195905 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 125.)		
nevermind the fraud thing ³ https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1575120477793689600 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 125.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
If it binds to altered FLNA that stabilizes the altered form (equilibrium goes toward “altered”).... that’s how chemistry and thermodynamics work... ask any scientist if you don’t believe me. \$sava has their story backwards 🤖 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1575145511576911878 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 126.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–164, 175–178, 198–203	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365

³ This is replying to a tweet that says “‘jones research’ expert take is \$SAVA \$AVXL should be +15-20%...nevermind no control arms, nor same MOA.”

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>The \$sava jig is up. Now with a viable alternative in \$BIIB it's downright unethical for the FDA let this charade continue.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1575155516137181185</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 126.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Sketchy is putting it mildly. \$sava is Theranos level BS with fraudulent data in NIH grants, SEC filings and FDA documentation.</p> <p>http://cassavafraud.com</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1575168822478139405</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 126.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>New news would be \$sava has reproduced their questionable scientific findings or put to bed the accusations of fraud, but alas despite a year of constant and numerous fraud revelations there is none of that 🤔</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1575653611438108673</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 126.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>One could reproduce all 7 retracted papers for less than \$3m... I wonder why Remi would rather pay lawyers 😞.. it's a puzzler</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1575663345985671170</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 126.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I'm not even short rn, I do this because I care about scientific integrity and want to see sociopaths like Remi and Wang go down 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1576411356642672640</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 126.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Here's a question for Matt et al:</p> <p>IF it is the case that Simuflimflam is a completely imaginary drug based on fraudulent science and fantasies, is it acceptable (to patients, to investors, and to the regulatory and scientific system) to run and continue running Ph3 trials?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1576587911860215813</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 126.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Be careful with this line of thinking.</p> <p>They HAVE been fabricating results, & the justification for the Ph3 was a charade.</p> <p>They're breaking critical scientific and ethical</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>norms that enable human clinical research, as well as regulations. It's 100% made up scientific fraud.</p> <p>It does not matter if the fake drug is harmless. The patients in these trials are still taking risks (lumbar punctures) and not getting to try other trials that might work. Hundreds of millions of \$ will be wasted (and some getting shunted to Remi). Investors will be screwed.</p> <p>And they are destroying the integrity of the system that everybody is trying to honestly operate in. They're cheating on the exam.</p> <p>and, rather importantly for Drs. Wang and Burns, \$SAVA took \$20 million in grants from the NIH and taxpayers based on False Claims, and then fabricated their results in performance of that research</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1576669435146174464</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 127.)</p>	<p>221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>there's the Cambridge Mafia and Philly Mafia. Keep your BSD fraud networks straight.</p> <p>When they intersect, you get \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1576683023050760192</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 127.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
You desires should be addressed to someone who has a fiduciary responsibility to you, like Remi. \$sava will be free to call whomever they like as experts or witnesses in court... this is Twitter 😊 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1576603337159426057 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 127.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
A company with integrity would retract this poster, at that point I would forgive this series of lies 🙏 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1576613241207169024 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 127.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
The data manipulation techniques in publication are varied, but seem to have one thing in common: Wang (for \$sava	FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>communications add Remi and you've got all you need for a 100% fraudulent company)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1576670820898074625</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 128.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>For \$sava lies, this one is my favorite:</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1576671284012150784</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 128.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>For just straight-up scientific illiteracy and insult-to-your-intelligence fraud, this one has got to take the top spot (and that's taken from a very rich \$sava data set 😊)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1576672703201042434</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 128.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
<p>That's the "redo" of the biomarkers... still the only data graphed in the \$sava slide deck (not even the OL anymore 😞). My theory (now proven by Remi in the 100 patient cohort) is that the "first 50" numbers are BS... exactly how Remi did it 🧐, cherry-picking is one possibility</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1576674144917155840</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 128.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Alexander was not a coauthor, he didn't announce it, Journal of Neuroscience did. Does the same nefarious motives apply to Remi and the first "exoneration" of \$sava by @MarinaP63 and the subsequent halting of the stock to announce (like actually for the first time)?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1576676290874454016</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 128.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 198–203, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>No expertise needed 😊 Try putting two different sized pieces of paper on the bed of a scanner 🔄 (BTW, that's "with intent to deceive")</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1576689832880807936</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 128.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-164, 175-178, 198-203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>
<p>there is no raw data, guys.. IT'S ALL MADE UP</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1576984597119672320</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 128.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 198-203, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>IT'S ALL MADE UP™</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1577513256385744898</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 129.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 198-203, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Will do 👍. What are you putting up if Remi gets indicted for fraud? https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1577720146742972426 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 129.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
SIMUFLIMFLAM: IT'S ALL MADE UP™ https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1578081595172569092 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 129.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>For context; This guy has 33 flagged publications (almost as many as @CSOM_Official Wang of \$sava fraud fame) and is still soaking up federal research funds 😏</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1578008530703073281</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 129.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>What these clowns are incapable of understanding is ALL MADE UP™ is not about playing gotcha with one random questionable figure. ALL MADE UP™ is about the gestalt of nonsensical biology, too-good-to-be-true claims, & clear evidence of serial fabrication over an entire career.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1578396517479731200</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 129.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>If I was laid off for generating data that showed the compound didn't work, I'd put my cash in a short position.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1579103491645407232</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 129.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>


Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		<p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>there is, for better or worse, a big difference in what you need to do to spot a fraud vs. what you need to do to justify taking regulatory and/or HR action vs what you need to do to decide whether or not to prosecute a criminal case. This stuff *always* takes a long time.</p> <p>I'm afraid that many parts of the FDA appear to be run by spineless and conflicted nincompoops. That is indeed a big problem.</p> <p>The right / easiest way to deal with this IMO would have been the FDA equivalent of pulling them over for a broken taillight and then letting the dogs do a proper search.</p> <p>Nah, even they know Remi. He has no shame and will never give up or admit anything.</p> <p>Aside, but I don't like your use of "discretion". "Enforcement discretion" means something specific for the FDA which is "we see you are breaking the regulations or laws and we choose to do nothing about it for.. reasons"</p> <p>Why didn't the SEC shut down Madoff when it was first brought to their attention?</p> <p>A lot of people who work at government regulators are incompetent and risk-averse.</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>it has been made very clear to FDA that there are simple violations of the regulations that could be could be the basis for action (for example, a misleading and incorrect Investigator Brochure). May yet happen.</p> <p>They could just be really really really stupid.</p> <p>Don't overestimate Lindsay</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1579667605564297216</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 129-30.)</p>		
<p>Ok, so what's the hold up? (My theory is there is just too much fraud and they are overwhelmed and they thought Wang would roll on Remi, and he hasn't.... Yet)</p> <p>@jenthedefender, what's YOUR theory?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1579584460106104832</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 130.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Nah Jacob is just an ignorant dufus who thinks he discovered the medical advance of the century hiding in a shitCo being run by a low-brow conman. I'm talking the whole BS \$sava story. It's been total unreproducible silly garbage for 20 years.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1579594273481449472</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 130-31.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I can see how a \$sava long might take some solace in the SEC shitting the bed. It would make a subsequent indictment by DOJ unusual, but not even close to the unusualness of \$sava discovered a cure for AD, binds at femtomolar and defies the laws of thermodynamics etc Occam's raz</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1579595876225675264</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 131.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Or during the criminal trial 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1579599138442072064</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 131.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Because IT IS ALL MADE UP™ https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1579981889846538241 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 131.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I don't think DOJ hired EXTRA people to investigate \$sava (probably hired consultants) I think Wang was in the compensation bonus pool. Whether Remi/Wang go to jail is really a question of how well they covered their tracks. What is not in question is that they did the crime. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1579897035360464896 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 131.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
You can search my timeline for lots of evidence, feel free to dispute any you like...	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196,	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I'd love to hear. This was the beginning of the \$sava scam escalation and always makes me laugh</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1579905782539509761</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 131.)</p>	<p>198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>You can trust me that this is 100% made up baloney (it's close enough to my area for me to say that +/- 0.1%), or you can ask anyone who ever worked in research biology.... I wonder why Remi never showed us this “beauty” that “nails it” 🤔🤔. Knowing vs proving in court👩⚖️</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1579919994716303360</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 131.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
 <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1580350227189358593</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 132.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>You have to believe @lindsaybbar is a total scientific illiterate for them not to have known.... So yeah probably 🤔</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1580385487780343813</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 132.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>free check-ups 👍 This is the most valuable thing \$sava has done for patients yet.</p>	<p>¶¶ 169–173, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1580550347311173633 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 132.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
not a single one? I'm disappointed (but not surprised) by the utter lack of pushback on any of the claims of fraud we're made 😞 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1581003519192014848 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 132.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It's just a poster.. but unlike everything Wang has ever written, it is not made up. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1581437036736569346 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 132.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Who is crazy, who is stupid, who is lying, and who is evil is not really my concern. The drug is fake; the research was fake; it is all a charade. IT IS ALL MADE UP. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1581794074545180672</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 132.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The problem is Remi would prefer to exist in limbo where he can pretend not to know. If they try to repeat Wang's work and become certain that it is all made up, the music stops. They won't take that risk..</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1581794645587111936</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 132–33.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>


Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>My statement today at the @CUNY Manhattan Borough Public Hearing</p> <p>IT IS ALL MADE UP. Enough is enough, @ChancellorCUNY @CUNYResearch @Vgbcc1 and Mr. Davis \$SAVA⁴</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1582125936635392000</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 133.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Sandy Robertson is an idiot to be buying stock. Call me.</p> <p>Burns is an idiot and/or a liar. She's a scientific lightweight and a fabulous rower.</p> <p>Wang is a liar and fabulist. (He's from Taiwan, btw)</p> <p>Mark E Johnson is a liar, idiot, jerk, and welch.</p> <p>VERU is a joke.</p> <p>any questions?</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

⁴ This tweet includes screenshots with a statement including allegations such as “Dr. Hoau-Yan Wang and City College and the School of Medicine perpetrated a massive biomedical research fraud...Wang fabricated data for 20 years. His fantasies were the basis for a purported Alzheimer's drug now in clinical trials. Wang was also responsible for Phase 2 biomarker data, and most of that was also made up.”

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1582193189439819776 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 133.)		
2023 is when SAVA dies https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1582282424666697728 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 133.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It is not a “drug”. IT IS ALL MADE UP. The entire thing is completely, utterly, insane. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1582899656908242946 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 133.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>🙄⁵</p> <p>The interesting thing is these guys were basically ripping off the trial sponsors.</p> <p>It's kind of unfathomable that a trial *sponsor* might deliberately (or even negligently) enroll subjects who fail to meet eligibility criteria or falsify subject laboratory results \$SAVa</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1582953383970734081</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 134.)</p>	<p>¶¶ 169–173, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>You can get some real fraud art from https://fearlessdart.com</p> <p>@DonnaHWalker1</p> <p>Maybe she'll do a \$SAVa piece one day</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1582955379868446725</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 134.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

⁵ This tweet includes a link to an endpts.com article titled “Clinical trial coordinators sentenced to prison after data falsification scheme.” Heilbut then ties it to Cassava in a Reply.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Yuppers, here comes the \$sava shake-down (as expected)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1583129661466644481</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 134.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Very understated, imo:</p> <p>2-3 Nobel prizes</p> <p>Rewrites pharm and chem textbooks</p> <p>\$1Trillion MC minimum</p> <p>Wang moves ahead of Salk, Edison, Einstein and Newton.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>\$sava is another silly Remi scam</p> <p></p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1583230987500023809</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 134.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I think if any sponsor deliberately faked data in a clinical trial, or even was negligent in allowing faked data to be submitted to FDA and NIH, the entire IND program should be stopped and criminal charges should be considered</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1583655691709214721</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 135.)</p>	<p>¶¶ 169–173, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>SavaDx went off track as soon as they got anyone who wasn't Wang to try to produce data, because.. wait for it.. IT WAS ALL MADE UP (and utter nonsense to boot)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1583904241608929280</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 135.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Indeed. I encourage everyone (FDA, SAVA, Nachtrab, Springer, and the 6 trolls in a trenchcoat) to do these experiments (and more) themselves.. nobody needs to take my word. But \$SAVA will never do it b/c they are</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>scared to know the answer and kill their (imagined) deniability.⁶</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1584220440381517824</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 135.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Maybe I will inspire someone else to try to replicate Wang's disgraced and retracted nonsense. I have no sponsors, except Jesse chipped in for the Simufilam, DMSO and a few other reagents because I didn't have a Fisher account set up and I haven't yet paid him back.⁷</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1584398420671746050</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 135.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162– 167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

⁶ In this tweet thread, Adrian posts a photo of what appears to be a vial of Simufilam and indicates he has purchased it to perform experiments.

⁷ In this thread, Adrian tweets a screenshot of a notice telling him that his abstract entitled “Rigor and Replication in Alzheimer’s Therapeutic Development: A Case Study” has been accepted for a poster presentation to be presented onsite at the Clinical Trials on Alzheimer’s Disease (CTAD) to be held in San Francisco on November 29 – December 2, 2022.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Ah, I forgot about Evelyn, the open label queen⁸</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1584411814695432192</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 136.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 240-245</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306-307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-348, 350-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-360, 365</p>
<p>Yes. It is all made up, and there are no third parties. Do you really not understand why it is problematic that *everything* needs to rely on Wang to generate data that makes things look like it works?</p> <p>And one of these days, the curtain is going to come down on this charade. Good night.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1584411814695432192</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 136.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 198-203, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>The 200 patient data is just going to be a little more mediocre than the 100 patient data, as it</p>	<p>¶¶ 169-173, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-296, 298-299</p>

⁸ A continuation of the thread immediately described above, this tweet includes a screenshot of a post titled “Key Cassava Phase 2 Clinical Site Under FDA Scrutiny” regarding Evelyn Lopez-Brignoni and the validity and integrity of data collected at her study site.


Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>gets harder and harder to cherry-pick. And there will never be more biomarker data because Wang can't make it up anymore. So Remi is a stuck, and just wants to stay out of trouble.</p> <p>Open label data is uninterpretable nonsense. Remi told you that himself.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1584680111084822530</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 136.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>I mean, it is a real chemical, but a fake drug, so maybe Joe is onto something.</p> <p>How about Naloxone though.. is that real?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1584694945101008897</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 136.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Nobody cares about COI in general. Everyone has conflicts; that's not problem. Problem is it is not appropriate for a CLINICAL TRIAL to rely on one deeply conflicted investigator to do (make up) primary laboratory analysis. (with</p>	<p>¶¶ 169–173, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>undisclosed COI btw). Not how things are done.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1585061070195937280</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 136.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Congratulations @MarinaP63, you are in the lawsuit vouching for \$sava, your colleagues must be so proud/s</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1584921563471777795</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 137.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>and congratulations to you too @Vgbcc1 @CSOM_Official, your dithering is now a defense of \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1584922121213550598</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 137.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Hey @MultiparkL do you guys know how to measure Alzheimer's Disease biomarkers, or what? \$sava says you guys got some serious "laboratory or human error" going on over there. Care to defend yourselves?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1584937306355425281</p>	<p>¶¶ 169–173, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 137.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365
<p>Hard to believe they messed up all 7 biomarker assays in all groups for \$sava.... Like REALLY hard to believe.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1584952417489391616</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 137.)</p>	¶¶ 169–173, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 306–307</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 327–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–348, 350–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–360, 365</p>
<p>Got to give Remi some credit, he found a General Counsel for \$SAVA with solid relevant experience.⁹</p> <p>...</p> <p>Remi has run \$SAVA for 20 years without a pesky general counsel around to keep things honest.</p> <p>The ONLY reason for them to have hired THIS guy, right now, is that they are quaking</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

⁹ This tweet includes a screenshot of newly hired Cassava employee Chris Cook's LinkedIn page showing his experience as a Partner at Jones Day, and highlighted within that experience is "Defendant False Claims Act matters for pharmaceutical companies, health care providers and government contractors."

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>in their boots because they fear they are about to go head-to-head with the DOJ.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1585685914352746533</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 137.)</p>		
<p>what you're trying to say here is that IT'S ALL MADE UP</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1585747644084781060</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 137.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Crook meets Cook</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1585684081693556746</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 138.)</p>	<p>¶¶ 259–265, 267–272, 274–280</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–307</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$sava finally fills a critical need on management team, a regulatory affairs person that they've been looking for for a year?, nope!</p>	<p>¶¶ 259–265, 267–272, 274–280</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>a "skilled litigator" with experience in fraud </p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1585626560043819010</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 138.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–307</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>So \$sava new criminal defense lawyer coordinator/GC is pulling in a measly \$425k after being a corporate lawyer for billion \$ companies for years. That seems like a good deal for Remi! What's wrong with Cook that he's slumming for a pittance now? Thoughts @jenthedefender?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1585808025503211521</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 138.)</p>	<p>¶¶ 259–265, 267–272, 274–280</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–307</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Research misconduct leads to NIH taking a PI off grant.... Similar to Wang at @CUNY \$sava?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1585577750345256960</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 138.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162– 167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–296, 298–299</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>In case you were wondering what Mr Cooks relevant experience for \$sava was... lemme show you 🤔¹⁰</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1585627848823414784</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 138.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>


¹⁰ This tweet is accompanied by a screenshot of a document that reads “WHEREAS, Alcon Inc. has been engaged in discussions with the United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section (the “Fraud Section”) and the United States Attorney’s Office for the District of New Jersey (the “Office”) regarding issues arising in relation to a conspiracy to falsify books, records, and accounts to conceal improper payments to health care providers . . .” (https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1585627848823414784/photo/1).

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Remi knows where \$sava is heading, even if his cult doesn't 🤪¹¹</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1585629922147852288</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 138.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$sava will plead guilty, throw themselves on the mercy of the DOJ and hope to collect \$1m/yr and stay out of jail while they wind down 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1585631050994065408</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 139.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>that would be impossible, because IT WAS ALL MADE UP</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

¹¹ This tweet is accompanied by two screenshots of a document that refers to allegedly fraudulent activity.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>but yeah, if someone redoes some of Wang's imaginary work and finds it was real, let me know so I can cover. Not holding my breath.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1586148155111907329</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 139.)</p>	<p>221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Intellectually honest people don't rant and rail on twitter nonstop under a pseudonym to defend blatantly obvious scientific fraud & their favorite stock. Wang is a fraud; the writing has been on the wall since the CP even if you still don't get it, & the end is approaching.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1586175994800414720</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 139.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The \$SAVA clowns can't say they weren't warned.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1455626163087454213</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 2.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I wonder what is going to be showing up on http://cassavafraud.com tomorrow morning?</p> <p>\$SAVA</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1455611528418365443 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 2.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
You can also learn more about \$SAVA tomorrow morning at http://simuflimflam.com https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1455622390982090759 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 2.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
21 CFR 312 makes some excellent bedtime reading. \$SAVA http://cassavafraud.com http://Simuflimflam.com 9am Wednesday. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1455741047238234113 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 2.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 247-251, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Not as crazy as photoshopping all of your studies for 20 years.. and putting a molecule into humans based on nonsense.</p> <p>SSAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1455654387691540486</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 2.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 169-173, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
 <p>@Adrian_H I wonder what is going to be showing up on http://cassavafraud.com tomorrow morning? SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1455611733284777988</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 2.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>We did... and it was pretty WOW as promised!</p> <p>http://cassavafraud.com</p> <p>http://simuflimflam.com 9am Wed</p> <p>Remi says it best: "You can actually connect all the dots, and reach some sort of Aha! moment, to say.. WOW!" SAVA</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>http://cassavafraud.com http://simuflimflam.com 9am Wednesday¹² https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1455776169039736836 (Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 2-3.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Wait, I'm confused, so there is no \$sava deal? But some rando on Reddit said the drug works and Pfizer wants to put into phase 7 right away. 😂🤔😂. Jk no one with an ounce of scientific sense would touch this turd 😊 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1455726370441551876 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 3.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

¹² Video of Zoom call embedded in tweet.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Cassava Sciences is a shambolic scientific charade. We have assessed virtually every aspect of Cassava's programs, & reveal a pattern of deliberate, coordinated misconduct. Our letter to FDA & slides: http://cassavafraud.com \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1455882376081547268</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 3.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 247-251, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>The scientific and clinical research problems at \$SAVA have not been fully appreciated by those who have been convinced that the clinical claims made by the company are meaningful.¹³</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1455882376081547268</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 3.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 169-173, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 247-251, 267-272, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>No, the short thesis is that nearly everything Cassava has ever done is largely faked</p> <p>http://Cassavafraud.com</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245,</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p>

¹³ This tweet includes over thirty reply tweets from Adrian to himself with screenshots of slides from the presentation described therein.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1455963967688429568 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 3.)	247-251, 253-257, 274-280, 286-287	Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It's all completely insane and completely made up. Wait until you see Wang's paper where he claims to find that transcranial magnetic stimulation modulates TrkB signaling in lymphocytes.. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1456084194405277703 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 3.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Here's a little tip for biotech frauds trying to obscure information about what proteins you actually measure to make your wacky, miraculously accurate Alzheimer's diagnostic more inscrutable: the labels are still there in the PDF even if you make the text white. \$SAVA https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1456096491248963588 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 3-4.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Hey \$SAVA you no longer need to hire x-ray operators who fake PhDs!! There's any army queuing up</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1455948114578350083</p> <p>(Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 4.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Probably more than Seeking Alpha annual rev [responding to Tweet asking "Question is how much \$\$\$ the \$Sava touts are being paid directly/indirectly from \$SAVA"]</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1455967237077147653</p> <p>(Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 4.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>\$SAVA AD-science bonzo scientist and his "expert" team of 30 PhDs never heard of University College London</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/14560460110345707551</p> <p>(Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 4.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>It's a lot of fraud to cover 😏 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1455884297861275653 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 4.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>We show that they lie many times. If you still believe they only don't lie about some things, that seems a precarious thesis for owning 🧐 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1455961978527223811 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 4.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Every week or so I remind you guys that Remi is a liar. This one was just about the "Big non-dilutive deal for \$sava in weeks, not months" that was 3 months ago https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1456003127279292419 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 4.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>we are trying to save the world and there is lots of new \$sava fraud in the letter we sent to the FDA. you can read it here: http://cassavafraud.com https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1456005241908965379</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 4.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>send in ADscience while you do your thing. We need some opposition, it's no fun just yelling \$sava is a fraud without any pushback 😞 (not that there is any real argument at this point 🗣️)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1456010324772069381</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 4.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>which? Why are we trying to stop them? Because they are taking advantage of desperate people to perpetrate a scam and depriving them of the opportunity to participate in something that might help. And taking lots of innocent naïve rubes' money along the way. That's why, \$sava f</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1456015331839909896 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 5.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Everyone in the biotech industry knows \$sava is a scam, we are just the only ones who put the effort into putting it on paper. This stock is chat room dupes and dumb money generalist funds. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1456076765307277314 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 5.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
If you put a doctored / made up western blot into an NIH grant application, could that cause any issues with @HHS_ORI or lead to a False Claims case? \$SAVA https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1456121036802494468 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 5.)	FAC ¶¶ 180-188, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365


Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>SavaDX is worthless. We already knew that, but this confirms it. Also, how was \$SAVA ever planning to commercialize a regulated diagnostic assay when they have no IP?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1456245676082405377</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 5.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>\$SAVA has DOZENS of papers with well documented evidence of manipulation on PubPeer. https://pubpeer.com/search?q=Hoau-Yan+Wang</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1456254824232615936</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 5.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 198-203, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>It is all complete, made-up garbage. If it were not, \$SAVA would be earnestly and openly defending everything in a transparent scientific way.. everything about how they operate is about contriving the appearance of desirable results.</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1456275948693770240 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 5.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Cassava Sciences has two products in development: Simufilam, & SavaDx, a proprietary diagnostic for Alzheimer's.</p> <p>According to \$SAVA 10K, SavaDx is NOT protected by patents, so actual molecules measured by SavaDx have been a key, closely guarded trade secret.</p> <p>Until today.. 🍷¹⁴</p> <p>...</p> <p>This is all some THERANOS-level smoke and mirrors. \$SAVA claim a miraculously accurate diagnostic test for Alzheimer's, but there is nothing proprietary about it, and they have no IP.. not to mention that it makes ZERO biological sense.</p> <p>http://Cassavafraud.com</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1456243203552784385</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

¹⁴ In this tweet thread, Adrian claims to have gained access to Cassava's science through a FOIA request, and then proceeds to claim it is all "smoke and mirrors."


Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 5-6.)		
<p>J Neurosci made the wrong call. Those bands in question are copy-pasted, and that paper is a smorgasbord of Wang's fantastic claims.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1456405835245101057</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 6.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Good to know that some \$SAVA trolls have made it as far as highschool and can chip in on our http://cassavafraud.com report</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1456150639176830976</p> <p>(Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 6.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Well well well... \$SAVA miracle 98% accuracy diagnostic for AD is just fluff?!?! shocked i tell ya</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1456251010180075520</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 6.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I will NEVER cover \$sava . Simufilam is a frauuud! https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1456272096053526550 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 6.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Every time we dig we find fraud... bet you will too \$sava https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1456246540373270530 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 6.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>No lies. I'll never stop. \$sava is a bunch of conmen. Read http://cassavafraud.com you will see. JN Didn't validate p2...you didn't read the article it was 2012...way way way before p2 😬</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1456401197087211523</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 7.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>The lies from \$SAVA never end</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1456776594366869508</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 8.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>\$sava's SavaDX is not only silly useless nonsense, but is now worth \$0. Fun read from  Adrian</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1456570615482175488</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 8.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>So JN asked the suspect if he stole the money and the suspect opened his wallet (12 years later) and said; nope nothing to see here. Well played Journal of Neuroscience 🤔 \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1456748032154800128</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 8.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Did you get Wang to do that nice photoshop work?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1457173531037507585</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 8.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>If anybody looks at all the Wang papers all together, it is just too much. Not everyone is willing or interested in saying it on twitter, but I am sure that there is a large, silent majority of neuroscientists who are disgusted. They can't let this go.</p> <p>They also need to conduct a proper investigation because NIH ORI is already involved and this is a risk to funding at an institutional level.</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1457126180444901382 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 8.)		
Either \$sava has been lying to us for months about having the blots or they submitted faked evidence to the Journal of Neuroscience. Pick one. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1456934307558301697 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 9.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365
I think it's a scam. No money will be saved by anyone. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1457105522340122638 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 9.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365
Suspect I suspect..	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1457274472214540288 (Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 9.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
We show they fabricated data, therefore their claims of safety based on data are suspect. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1457295244605673473 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 9.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 169-173, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
\$ava magic eraser, first unveiled after AAIC poster, makes a reappearance and poof - fraud disappears -like it never even happened-  https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1457313206351826945 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 9.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Disagree on industry but ok, some are unwilling to publicly call fraud. At the very least we now all now agree that \$ava	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>submitted figures that were wrong in their foundational paper (and poster). And not just an “oopsie” copy-paste slip up:</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1457321854272671750</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 9.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Never \$sava is a total fraud. \$2 in 3 years max guaranteed.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1457490360402391044</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 9.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Says Remi the liar, let's see what J N says.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1457490667949760520</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 9.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 267-272, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I don't know, nor am I accusing any individual of anything, other than Wang making up almost all of his data. Beyond that it is very</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>hard to know who knew what when, and folly to speculate about it in public.</p> <p>Dr. Wang has been making everything up for 21 years. Everything. None of it is real. A lot of people drank the Kool-Aid. Some may be so committed now they can't even recognize that it isn't real. Dr. Wang may not even know it isn't real. But it isn't real.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1457535523124305923</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 10.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>People who haven't gone over Wang's entire oeuvre don't yet realize that his entire career has been "fraudulent manipulation." This one is going to be too big to ignore, à la Anversa, Potti, Potts-Kant, Hwang, or Summerlin.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1457473113042657281</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 10.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I wonder how Wang keeps all these bands organized on the shared folder at CUNY so that it's easy to mix-and-match when Lindsay calls and asks to Photoshop another paper.</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://pubpeer.com/publications/CD34FCE900CAA9CC35B5E4190DCBE5 \$SAVA https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1457570031450181632 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 10.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
you forgot that Dr. Hoau-Yan “Photoshop” Wang was also @CunyMedicine Faculty of the Year @CUNYNeuro @CUNYResearch @HHS_ORI #ScienceIntegrity #SfN21 #SfN2021 #CTAD21 \$SAVA ¹⁵ https://ccny.cuny.edu/csom/faculty-year-award-hoau-yan-wang https://pubpeer.com/search?q=Hoau-Yan+Wang https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1458288281666433024 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 10.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
very well, from now on I shall call it a scientific fraud, that I think I have proven. All good now.	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

¹⁵ This tweet shows a photo of Dr. Wang alongside a photo of convicted fraudster Elizabeth Holmes and an image allegedly showing issues with Dr. Wang's research.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1457794815932788737 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 11.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Slide 20 is the re-do. Do you mean a different slide? just in case; what's fishy here is an external lab that provides these services as it's business found nothing then sava scientist found miracle. Pretty close to the definition of 🙄 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1457859974093320195 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 11.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 216-221, 228-230, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
His motive is no more or less an impediment to his credibility as Wang's or any other person who has a financial stake in the company. I think we can agree at least that incentives work both ways. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1457901170660417536 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 11.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 275-280, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
The dose is way way way to high for the supposed mechanism, yes. The safety point is	FAC ¶¶ 169-173, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294






Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>basically they misrepresent lots of data (2 admitted to so far and many awaiting), so why not safety too? It's a credibility argument.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1457904552427114497</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 11.)</p>		<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Both are true, it's total baloney and it's of zero value.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1457917722654818308</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 11.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Your morning \$sava Wang fraud report: looks like Wang accidentally “discovered” an antibody to nicotinic A7-R which the world was previously unaware existed 😊 This guy cured AD, identifies AD with 95% accuracy from blood and now this cc @MarinaP63</p> <p>https://pubpeer.com/publications/F91E0D22B887598445BB1F908393EE#</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>


Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1458057189504004106 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 11.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
therein lies Remi's strategic genius in concocting his own secret, fake new biomarker with which to bootstrap Simuflimflam https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1458353805045735424 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 12.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 216-221, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Did anyone at \$SAVA or J Neuroscience actually think that they were going to get away with this? ¹⁶ and does anyone believe that all of those still-identical bands are remotely real? https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1458542228326993925 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 12.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

¹⁶ This is in conjunction with a quote tweet from @MicrobiomDigest regarding Western blot images in the *Journal of Neuroscience*.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>\$sava Wang is not taking a break from his fraud-spree for CTAD. He's hard at work getting off-the-shelf antibodies to change their binding properties, but it only works in HIS hands (ya know like the P2 re-do 😊). (that's in your J Neurosci @MarinaP63 @SfNJournals 🧑🔬)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1458423337621893132</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 12.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Can't decide if the model is fraud or pump and bonus... I don't want to be nailed down to one</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1458431453159727106</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 13.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Now why would \$sava have increased legal costs? 😞 (I'll help: FDA, SEC and CUNY are currently investigating)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1458455663542165511</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 247-251, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 13.)		
<p>No, absolutely not. You rerun an experiment if you think its results are due to chance, some confounding variables or a mistake. If you spot fraud, you point that out and try to stop it, for the sake of the greater good.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1458543888747073536</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 13.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>One can quantify in the original image w/ ImageJ. Here's a quick "plot profile" showing mean intensity of each vertical line in the selected region in background, scanning from left to right. Distribution shifts in the extra piece they added.</p> <p>It's 100% faked. \$SAVA @MarinaP63</p> <p>Similar sharp difference in the mean background level with a "vertical profile" going from top down into the "original" image. 100% faked.</p> <p>Not cool, \$SAVA. @MarinaP63 #SfN2021 #CTAD2021</p> <p>The lawsuits and criminal cases based on this \$1.5B stunt are going to be 🔥 \$SAVA</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>and as others have pointed out, it is obvious that none of these are images of real, complete western blots in any case, because there is no lane with molecular weight markers (or empty lane where they would be if they aren't labeled for imaging)</p> <p>IT IS ALL MADE UP</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1458681850843574273</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 13-14.)</p>		
<p>Just to be clear: \$SAVA faked additional "raw" data last week, sent it to @MarinaP63 at J Neurosci to receive their "blessing", halted the stock, then sent a press release relating this false, engineered news, causing the share price to double.</p> <p>Is there a legal term for that?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1458780796005892097</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 14.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 274-251, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>  Get your daily \$SAVA fraud here  </p> <p>It appears that in an effort to clear themselves of manipulated image accusations Cassava submitted some (long pause)</p> <p>MANIPULATED IMAGES.. I know right .</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>imagine the cajones and contempt that takes </p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1458771009813815300</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 14.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>thanks for your concern, but no. I don't want to help perpetuate the \$sava scam of investors and families with AD</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1458899214101057538</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 14.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>yes, what Remi is doing to desperate families like yours by taking advantage and providing false hope is disgusting.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1458907384068378628</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 14.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 274-280, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>What is disturbing is that there is no legitimate argument that can be made for the “raw” (fabricated) images of original blots offered up that justifies the language or timing of the “exoneration” statement JN made. So “process” at JN was either not followed or is deficient.</p> <p>Most non-biologists do not yet appreciate how completely insane and fraudulent the entire body of Wang’s work has been. The EiC of JN certainly is capable of appreciating it, especially given her nAChR expertise.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1459247416046202886</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 15.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$SAVA disconnected phones so that FDA could not get in touch about CP ...smart</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1459069965986938900</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 15.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$SAVA is like those fake sign language interpreters.. seems legit for a moment.. unless you know sign language</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1459240553187627012 (Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 15.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
that would be the behavior of a science-based company. \$sava will only be mildly (and misleadingly in the recent example) responsive when their stock price drops. That's because sava is a stock price scam and not a drug company (you can see it right in their compensation scheme) https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1459157554412544005 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 15.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 274-280, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
\$SAVA mental gymnastics https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1459550878390759425 (Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 15.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>I am short \$sava, the company is a scam. Go to http://cassavafraud.com educate yourself. I am also a scientist, it's allowed by the grand wizard of science. Happy? (I've disclosed this from day 1) 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1459657816176791556</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 15-16.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I'm expecting: Cassava has been served with subpoenas for information related criminal fraud by the SEC and that Remi has retained legal representation and has temporarily been placed on leave 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1459943407892193288</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 16.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The privilege to conduct trials under an IND is based on a balance of safety and potential for efficacy. If \$SAVA pre-clinical and clinical data are fabricated or manipulated, or trials not properly run, there is no ethical or regulatory justification for further clinical trials.</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 198-203, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 247-251, 253-257, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>We know IT WILL fail because we see and understand that the biology was MADE UP. It is not a matter of hope, or optimism, or liking what one sees — it is all fabricated nonsense. If you cannot understand or admit this to yourself by now, you are going to be Remi's bagholder.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1460054040545566727</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 16.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>And I know Wang fabricated western blots in nearly every piece of work he has done, which means it is all total bullshit.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1460099110590197761</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 16.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>because there is a lot of money to be made on charades and pump-and-dumps, and in trading sardines. Those who “trusted” Remi early on made money and got a lot of positive feedback. But there there are no serious biotech investors involved.</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1460283611303886862 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 16.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Dear \$SAVA @CassavaSciences I can predict for you the outcome of those investigations inc enforcement actions. Please reach out, my fees are reasonable. https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1460272328730943493 (Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 16.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It's INCONCEIVABLE that \$SAVA @CassavaSciences would terminate development of the only diagnostic that predicts Alz before symptom onset with nearly 100% accuracy. Terrible news for patients and the world. WHY?? @alzassociation @alzforum https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1460292165880492042 (Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 17.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 253-257, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>\$sava also dropping SavaDx development for now, which makes sense because not only is it BS, but this trade secret isn't a secret anymore 😊 (h/t @Adrian_H) p.s. publishing p2 data looking pretty iffy as well.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1460263582151630848</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 17.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Agree on that speculation. That would fertile ground and probably the most appropriate venue in which to begin the investigation into \$sava 😊 (honestly there are several oversight offices that could have fruitful and concurrent investigations)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1460272152473747466</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 17.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>This little note marks the beginning of the end for \$SAVA Institutions (even @SfNJournals) will all eventually stand up for #ScienceIntegrity and Remi cannot gaslight the entire scientific community or change reality. There is no longer any ambiguity or doubt about what went on with Dr. Wang and his "discoveries" for \$SAVA, and every</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>scientist and every journal editor can see it. https://pubpeer.com/search?q=hoau-yan+wang https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1460687375441530881</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 17.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>2005 was the foundation for 2008, 2012, 2017, and the \$SAVA Simufilam IND, the Phase 2 trials, and SavaDX. It is all fabricated nonsense, built upon other fabricated nonsense, and it is all going to come crashing down.</p> <p>\$SAVA is perfectly happy to light NIH and investor money on fire so long as they manage to siphon off a large chunk of it for themselves</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1460700822279532546</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 18.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Everything comes back to the absurd (and falsifiable) \$SAVA claim of Naloxone binding to Filamin-A and that pentapeptide with sub-nanomolar affinity. So those early papers are intensely relevant.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1460707518175399940</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 18.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 198-203, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Yes, based on what's plain to see over 25+ papers, Dr. Wang's entire career and all his key findings are based on scientific fraud & fabrication. Whether Dr. Burns & \$SAVA were complicit at the time or just fooled, I don't know. They are complicit in covering up the truth now.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1460712095062925314</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 18.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Any lawyer can comment on the language of the partially highlighted paragraph from \$SAVA 10k? Specifically “arrangements to settle such matters and resolve..” to avoid litigation. Which agencies likely enforcement actions does this allude to?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1460530169169559556</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 18.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Ha, you give me too much credit. There was never a deal. There isn't even a company, you have the femtomolar lie generated by Wang inside the \$sava patent. You are never getting away from that, even if Remi the fraudster happened to stumble on the cure (he didn't).</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 198-203, 267-272, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1460677333921185801 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 18-19.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Let me ease your mind. My strategy from the beginning is to call the police and give a description of the perp (the letter) and while the crime is going on to yell out my window and point to the crime in progress (twitter, pubpeer) as any good citizen would do. \$sava https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1460677841805316102 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 19.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
this is just the start.. the whole \$SAVA charade is going to unravel now cc: @MarinaP63 and I have no doubt that the SEC is going to investigate the stunt \$SAVA pulled two weeks submitting additional fabricated data in a fake “Erratum” to fool the Journal of Neuroscience into issuing a statement of “exoneration” the Ph2 clinical data is very much in doubt here too. I agree that this is a rare or unprecedented situation.. typically when	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>something like this happens, the sponsor admits it and stops the trial themselves.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1460978798439157765</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 19.)</p>		
<p>I hear that \$SAVA plans to cure Parkinson's Disease with Simuflimflam next</p> <p>y'all think I'm kidding but I'm not</p> <p>we've got the receipts \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1460847699767480323</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 19.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Ain't no time to wait around for that while Remi figures out a new scam</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1461027076971057154</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 19.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Nice but TLDR Get your "biotech fraud 101" at http://cassavafraud.com</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1461048890577276930</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 20.)		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$sava this is just the beginning, CUNY will find Wang has been crooked for a long time, Remi has prob been cherry-picking clinical data, the patent is totally invalid and based on Wang, Remi likely been insider trading via social media, 8-k and 10-q are full of misinformation etc</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1460977313877798913</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 20.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>So will CUNY circle the wagons around \$sava fraud Wang with the SEC looking over their shoulder? (I'm guessing they won't 😏)</p> <p>No Wang=No Patent=No Company=\$0/share</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1461003095282966532</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 20.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Is \$sava behavior consistent with knowingly falsifying data? 🤖</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1461122801352515587</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 20.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>This would be a good path forward for \$sava the problem is without Remi's conning skills there is no value to the company and the board knows it.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1461130312730255361</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 20.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Next Press Release from \$sava will be issued by Remi driving a Ford Bronco</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1461141357171458051</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 20.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I mean, it would really be a pain in the ass for @MGHNeurology @MGHNeuroSci if it turned out they were directly receiving NIH money to help run a fraudulent study with \$SAVA on Alzheimer's biomarkers. They'd probably want to try to stay ahead of possible scandals like that.</p> <p>Just my usual \$SAVA speculation, of course, it's not as if we already have all the receipts¹⁷</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1461388129747849224</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 21.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 216-221, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>It's just amusing to draw the curtain and see a bunch of cosplaying patsies being used by Remi and Lindsay to astroturf for their pump-and-dump games.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1461535838194524162</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 22.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>I have no idea, but if someone was looking for people lying to pump in order to sell, the first</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p>

¹⁷ Attaching screenshot of page from a document related to “Development of PTI-125-DX, a blood-based diagnostic for Alzheimer’s disease.”

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>logical place to check for liars would be \$SAVA management.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1461543350587338754</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 22.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>No I just think Remi is a con man based on the available evidence.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1461365213723172880</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 22.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>All data that we have been able to examine (which isn't a lot as they are very opaque) has had touches (sometimes more) of data fudging... does not inspire confidence.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1461546308611809284</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 22.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 198-203, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 247-251, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Not at all. Based on a ton of evidence of unscrupulous behavior over decades I don't trust Remi. Your board should replace Remi</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>ASAP, guarantee share price would pop. They won't cause they know Remi 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1461553128071966727</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 22.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Those are only the ones you can think of, Remi is a wizard of manipulation. He has a gift, I'll give him that.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1461558810452144129</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 22.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>How is it legal for \$SAVA to spinal tap folks and then just make up their biomarker values?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1461663337066995719</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 22.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 216-221, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>To stop a sociopath from defrauding investors and harming elderly sick people and their families \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1461842547349860355</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 23.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 169-173, 267-272, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Between Harvard/MGH, Hopkins, and Yale, the NIH grants flowing to and around this "network", obvious evidence of scientific misconduct, and clear yet undisclosed conflicts of interest, more people might start paying attention to what has been going on here soon. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1461757239719514117</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 23.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 169-173, 267-272, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>The legal disclaimers are at the start of the deck. That's them covering their ass because they know they are lying. And it's not about protecting the company, it's about protecting Remi and the other officers, who are hunkering</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 169-173, 240-245, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>down because they know they are deeply screwed.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1462228748548325378</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 24.)</p>		<p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Here is a \$SAVA genius incriminating himself on impersonating @MicrobiomDigest</p> <p>https://twitter.com/lawrenceshaw82/status/1462194619467264003</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1462196119463731202</p> <p>(Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 24.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>At which part of this process were \$SAVA management looking at the data post hoc and choosing which different patients to remove from each analysis and different endpoint?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1462482259097833475</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 24.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The cognition data were not the basis for considering the Ph2 “successful” and justifying doing a Ph3. Cognition was a purely</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 211-214, 216-221,</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>a marketing stunt to sell the stock. The actual endpoint of the Ph2 were the biomarkers, because \$SAVA knew those could be faked by the great Wang.</p> <p>The whole \$SAVA strategy, which was quite clever, is to keep pushing ahead to the next step using data that can be faked, and then moving the perceived goalpost to something else while trying to let bygones be bygones. It's a house of cards, in the process of collapsing.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1462483419124932613</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 24.)</p>	<p>228-230, 232-238, 247-251, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$sava also has a completely bogus device: SavaDX. Same ridiculous claims (100% accuracy), same opaque “validation”, same cultish following. Good comparison to Theranos. Protego has nothing to do with filamin or simufilam, but yeah they are both companies in biopharma 😬</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1462470738703749125</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 25.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Allowing an obvious fraud like \$sava to continue to take advantage of patients,</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>investors and families makes a mockery of our regulatory framework and markets. 50:50 Remi ends up behind bars.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1462490125494099968</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 25.)</p>		<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Somebody should also check with her SAB colleague and husband Prof. Trevor Robbins (who was the PhD advisor of Lindsay Burns, Cassava's CSO) That's how conflicted and incestuous \$SAVA is. Independent, objective scientific advice? Hah.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1462886022107344906</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 26.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>For those of you that may have been confused by @SfnJournals decision to actively participate in fraud by exonerating \$sava and surrendering their scientific integrity and voice to Remi, I put a helpful explainer here 😊 cc: @MarinaP63 @JuanLerma1 @barry_everitt @SECEnfDirector</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1463129101821255681</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 26.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>I'm questioning the clinical data http://cassavafraud.com it's scam, all of it 😊 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1463098522379632641</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 26.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 198-203, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 247-251, 253-257, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Dr. Bik is exceedingly polite & fighting a much bigger war against misconduct across all of science.</p> <p>Given the evidence here, it is 100% clear that Dr. Wang is a fraud who has been fabricating data for 20 years. It may not be nice to say, but every one of you can see it. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1463556887442558983</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 26.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
I'm not assuming anything. The statement put out by JN was patently ridiculous. The “original” blot provided in the Erratum was faked. They need to explain or correct their	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>statement and Erratum, and explain the process that that led to it. @MarinaP63 \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1463562262694842372</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 27.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The whole Bordey / TSC / Yale thing is bizarre (especially the patent applications), but it's a sideshow.</p> <p>None of their work has ever established or validated *anything* about Simuflimflam mechanism (in AD or otherwise) or binding to FLNA.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1463571837242290178</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 27.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Look at the evidence yourself, it's really that simple. Here is a guided tour, if you want: http://cassavafraud.com or look at the wang pictures on pubpeer. If you like personal histories look up Remi's history at Pain Therapeutics. \$sava is a simple fraud GL 🙌</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1463710841426137090</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 27.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>This is an amazing story from @jflifer .. (and it went down at Yale, no less!) \$SAVA There's always an attempt at a cover-up, and it doesn't work in the end..¹⁸ @MarinaP63 https://muse.jhu.edu/article/839285 what is it with fraudsters and binding curves? \$SAVA https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1463770157650690058 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 27.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Secret sauce?? It is claimed in their BS patents (with the experiments done, as usual, by Wang) https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1463913276564291591 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 27.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>This is like those stories where someone steals a piece of art or jewelry, gets caught, and then</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p>

¹⁸ This links to an article titled, "Misconduct in Bioscience Research: a 40-year perspective."

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>discovers what they stole was a fake all along. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1463964610487361538</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 28.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Well there's @ClicksAndHisses @MicrobiomDigest and these guys: (show me one named scientist that doesn't think \$sava is a fraud)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1464060975036964864</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 28.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Are you a scientist using you real name that doesn't think \$sava is a scam? You could be the founding member, claim your prize 🎁</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1464242161289941002</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 28.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Yes, I agree that my main concern is not safety, but fraud (fraud about safety is still possible). Can we agree that the company and trial should be stopped if it is found that all of their efficacy data is doctored?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1464403482925010950</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 28.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 169-173, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>FDA and nih are lazy and slow, they are investigating, it will come out. So far, every piece of information that we can get at looks completely made up. All clinical data is able to be messed with by Remi. Who told you the data is amazeballs?... Remi that's who 🙋</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1464407602708758528</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 28.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 198-203, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 247-251, 253-257, 267-272, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Two “visual errors” but who’s counting. Yes he constructed a series of implausible “errors” and nonsensical post hoc criteria to wriggle out of being caught fudging, like the blots etc... it’s a pattern with them</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1464357760758341632 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 28.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
She heard an enticing story (simuflam binds flna with cosmic potency) saw how it could easily fit into her life's work and got excited. She didn't think to double-check, she trusted Sava wouldn't be lying (most people in science are not incorrigible liars like Remi). Sad really https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1464415894411612166 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 28.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Sloppiness is not the same as fraud. Wang -> Fraud Burns -> Fraud Bordey -> Sloppy Picciotto -> Sloppy Capiche? https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1464419283820322822	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 29.)		
<p>That was the image they provided. There is absolutely no plausible explanation for the linear discontinuity in the background. It is not a compression artifact; it is a sloppy cut and paste job.</p> <p>Wang is extremely lazy and sloppy with all of his western blot fabrication. That's clear if you look at the different examples of his work documented on pubpeer, & some of the newest instances are the most egregious. Explaining the psychology behind that is above my pay grade.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1464741321579581447</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 29.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>CUNY has no obligation to protect other people's information</p> <p>If \$SAVA want to protect their "trade secrets" maybe they should have built their own lab and hired scientists instead of playing games with academic "consultants"</p> <p>It's all a cosplay joke anyway; there's no real test</p> <p>Why not focus on the real issue: SavaDx is a fraud and the western blots on that poster</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 253-257, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>presented as "SavaDx" are not what they are claimed to be. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1465751974998069248</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 29.)</p>		
<p>The truth about \$SAVA SavaDx is that is a FAKE assay, the only purpose of which is to provide "confirmation" of the "treatment effects" of \$SAVA's inert drug that does not and cannot bind Filamin-A</p> <p>Remi is a special kind of genius.</p> <p>https://cassavafraud.com/docs/SAVADx_Theranos2.0.pdf</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1465870478527057920</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 29-30.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>A Western Blot-based blood diagnostic with unprecedented accuracy is the Flintstones' Theranos \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1465412191830654982</p> <p>(Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 30.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>It meshes up perfectly, everything except the numbers. Date, patient ID, MW, antibody, collaborator, technique... just not the result 😊 ergo \$sava lies</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1465767069182529537</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 30.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Because the poster data is fraudulent. Why would I average fraud with real?...that would be disingenuous. Because you did not understand the graph or I assumed it was clear does not make me disingenuous. Look up the word and then delete the tweet.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1466042210852519938</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 30.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>No, I honestly think SavaDx is 100% a fraud and so is \$sava If Remi wants to dispute, he can, or not. I doubt he will address because that would just create another round of lies for</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 267-272, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>him t keep track of and get caught on by the numerous agencies investigating them.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1466118252560482304</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 30.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The patient IDs are on the poster. SavaDX is 92-100% accurate in July of 2020. \$sava is lying about SavaDX and everything else</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1466121893732229125</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 30.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>when you are done contemplating your navel and the proper way to average replicates, why don't you explain how you get a ratio of proteins from a single band on the AAIC poster \$sava dx is a fraud</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1466165529559932929</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 30.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>“Caught In A Lie” \$SAVA¹⁹ https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1466856427130179593 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 31.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>No harm, no foul, To a point. Now a BIG problem & people getting lumbar punctures, on NONSENSE. Journals have failed and need to do their job. STAT. @joewalkerWSJ @davidamichaels @ClicksAndHisses @geoffrey_pitt @MicrobiomDigest \$SAVA We have the goods and you have the goods. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1466984445865607169 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 31.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$SAVA CEO Remi Barbier called his critics “Haters” But is the “hatred” blind https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1466857583621419022 (Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 31.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

¹⁹ This tweet contains a link to a YouTube music video for the song “Lie” by the band BTS.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Let's hope something good comes out of this \$sava fraud saga, like maybe reform of the broken system of scientific review and retraction 🙏 @SfNJournals @TurrigianoLab @MarinaP63 tick tock 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1466907354184794119</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 31.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Who cares? A handful of papers about associations between FLNA and various other proteins also connected to AD does not in any way establish FLNA as a target. And Wang's papers remain made up.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1467173535198195719</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 31.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>The "non-obvious" part of their method is that Dr. Wang has to perform it, and he makes up some or all of the data, just like he has done in 25+ papers over his career.</p> <p>No, it is fraud because it does not make any biological sense, the results are "too good to be true", Wang is the one who did the work, and</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Wang has a 20-year record of.. wait for it.. FABRICATING WESTERN BLOTS.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1467577702299406341</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 31-32.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>And we also know that \$SAVA is hiding something, because they deliberately do not provide any of the experimental details or the real data on the poster necessary to properly assess or interpret what the heck is going on.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1467372763304415233</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 32.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>How long until the big Wang discovers that Simuflimflam also cures impotence?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1468008959810445312</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 32.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>My dude, it REVERSES cognitive decline, these patients are literally getting smarter within weeks of taking Simufilam... SavaDX will identify AD years before onset with 100% accuracy and S will be started immediately (if</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>it wasn't a total scam of gullible idiots that is 😏)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1467928421049278470</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 32.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It's all variations of The Big Lie...old trick, new suckers every day.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1467964880997306369</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 32.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>At this point I would actually be shocked if there wasn't fraudulent data in a Wang associated paper. I'm just following the well established pattern 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1468260294250332160</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 32.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I am a sava fraud account, can't afford to be distracted by your shenanigans</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1468358725853401100</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 32.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>The thesis was related to sava-wang and entirely relevant to fraud in his lab.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1468364904914726919</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 32.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Doubt it, \$sava has been uniquely brazen and inept in their scam, at least in my experience.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1468404401194909704</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 33.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
He's talking about measuring CSF/Plasma albumin, not SavaDx. That was done so \$SAVA could claim a "Treatment Benefit" on BBB integrity. And it was not done properly or using routine clinical methods, and the numbers are complete manipulated garbage. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1468386878009266176 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 33.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Which one of those provides evidence for binding of the actual \$SAVA drug, Simufilam, to the actual supposed target, FLNA? (I thought you told me that the "cryptic binding sites" on the native protein were critical..) \$SAVA isn't going to be able to BS their way out of this.. Wut? The premise of the entire charade (both for AD and for Bordey's bizarre claims) is that the drug binds FLNA. The key data \$SAVA showed to establish that is physically impossible and MADE UP. It is all a complete fraud.	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1469088912232570880 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 33.)		
\$SAVA No binding to filamin 🤔🤔🤔 Plus http://cassavafraud.com guest-stars https://regulations.gov/document/FDA-2021-P-0930-0194 https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1469007376707723268 (Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 33.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365
Hey \$sava dupes, ya know that amazing femtomolar affinity that's in you company's patent? Yeah well, shocker, it's total BS, defies laws of physics. No patent=\$0/share 😏 #billish 🤔 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469004947501072387 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 33.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365
No. I don't want to reward fraudulent data. \$sava should be punished so that others do not attempt this scam. The best thing for AD	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>research and patients is to maintain a fraud free and fact based investment environment.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469095555859103746</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 33-34.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I would be willing to compromise on throwing Remi, Wang and any that knew in jail, liquidating the co and all the officers, allowing a small 3 mo blind P2 trial (as was planed) run by a reputable CRO to proceed. This would handle my perverse incentive problem and allow you hope.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469097081415553028</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 34.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>18 months to replicate 🧐 any data, it'll take \$sava that long to hire reps, marketing and synthesis. They've been trying to hire 1 reg affairs person for 3 months. They have fraud cooties. All moot of course.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469122007174467587</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 34.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>There are zero well established successful scientists involved in this endeavor. They can't even publish their P2 data 🙄. Just greedy fraudsters. You should buy more shares.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469122801051258880</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 34.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 274-280, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>because my hypothesis is Wang is a fraud and he wouldn't want anyone else working with it because then they would see it's BS. If it was mine and it WAS the cure for AD I would want the whole world working on it</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469043565967941634</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 34.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>if it was the only piece of evidence that Wang is a serial liar, yet. But it's a drop in the ocean of fraud from that guy. Just putting all the pieces together with a simple elegant theory that explains all of it, that's science</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469048051285303297 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 34.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Since the patent contains fraudulent data, even if it does “work” (it won’t) it will be the company with the best chemical manufacturing and distribution that will make money of it, and that ain’t \$sava https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469089894907658253 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 34.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
No, but that’s funny. I think by now they have realized they got bamboozled by \$sava fraud Wang. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469094252055613440 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 35.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>\$SAVA Latest CUNY FOied emails show: - Wang lab analyses not blinded - Wang knows sample time-points for P2b OL study (Day 1 Vs 6 Month) - Wang lab has access to patient-specific info inc initials - Likely HIPAA violation (FDA, CRO have been alerted)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1469283655147298824</p> <p>(Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 35.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 228-230, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>It takes time to dig up and work out all the different ways \$sava lies to the public. Some of ours come from FOIA which we don't control when they arrive. We are building a damning case with solid proof, it doesn't happen all at once.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469210754662420481</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 35.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>fraud crook misconduct scam snake-oil con scheme \$sava feel free to add any you can think of 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469393771737530371</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 35.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Leak the info given to them with the understanding that they wouldn't leak it. And I at least covered the last initial. Anyway, that is a minor issue compared to \$sava-fraud Wang being unblinded during your precious OL. How's the confidence in that data going? 🤔 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469781271920402441 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 35.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 240-245, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Key is “confines of the study”, obv Pei and Wang lab are supposed to be blind according to SEC filings and *very probably* study protocol. It's a shit-show of fraud and incompetence. SEC has been informed of \$sava lies on filings 👍 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469782611421716482 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 35.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Eliminate fraud, reduce abuse of the system, punish bad actors and make money.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1469632116975677448</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 35.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>The cold hard proof that the reported binding is physically impossible!!! The cold hard proof that they used the same mouse brain (but with altered view / staining) in allegedly different conditions. The cold hard proof that several WBs have been doctored for 20 years.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1469674470843502599</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 36.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>The cold hard proof that the baseline changes in cog data show highly unlikely shifts. The cold hard proof that they show signaling in frozen, thawed and re-frozen brain tissue that is several years old, which also appears to be physically impossible.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1469675096696668161</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 36.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>The cold hard proof that the submitted original data to a journal clearly appears doctored itself! The cold hard truth that the company as defense makes worrying, nonsensical statements like “altering WB controls doesn’t make sense” or “Don’t trust pictures on the internet”.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1469675751221907459</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 36.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>I have told you that the company could be a fraud and still have a working drug, yes. I think the likelihood for that is probably infinitesimally small though.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1469798011647799296</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 36.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Likewise. Ok, my prediction is that \$SAVA will not actually be able to finish P3 trials as planned. This story will end up somehow similar to Theranos. Mark my tweet, if I will have been wrong I will readily admit it! Here on Twitter!!! https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1469816464005906432 (Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 36.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
5 days later: \$SAVA has still not responded to the 12/10 CP update showing the KEY experiment in which they claimed their “drug” binds its “target”, FLNA, was physically impossible and thus COMPLETELY MADE UP They can't, because it IT IS ALL MADE UP. https://downloads.regulations.gov/FDA-2021-P-0930-0195/attachment_1.pdf	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>This \$SAVA / Wang paper must set a record for methodological diversity of fabrications & errors in a single piece of work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - faked western blots - duplicated microscopy images - mismatched antibody specificities - physically impossible radiochemistry <p>https://pubpeer.com/publications/80DD10169D3C375C5828BC2711A49B</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1470453960280576004</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 36-37.)</p>		
<p>Cognitive results from an open label trial are utterly meaningless. Ask Remi.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1470535690085703689</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 37.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>So much easier to let the rest of society bear the costs of \$sava fraud than to act responsibly and do your job, right @MarinaP63? (Even when the investigation was done for you 😏) cc: @TurrigianoLab</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1470366262505226244</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 37.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>In \$sava latest December slide deck they have moved SavaDX back from “initiate validation trial in 2021” to “in early stage development”. SavaDX is supposedly a simple blood based-diagnostic with a “92-98%” accuracy which they have been pumping since 2017 😏 (it's a scam too 😏)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1470417898753699840</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 37.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>You are of course right. But are you basically suggesting that when one sees apparent fraud and gullible victims, one should just not do anything? Does one not have a responsibility as a citizen?</p> <p>Also, who is trying to get people fired?</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>


Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1470892349236682754 (Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 38.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
.. plus the \$SAVA “erratum” that: - itself contains fabricated, low-res images; - ignores actual problems in figures it claims to correct; - ignores the *many* other concerns raised about the paper; - played J Neurosci as a puppet to manipulate a stock https://pubpeer.com/publications/F91E0D22B887598445BB1F908393EE https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1471314007122456579 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 38.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 274-280, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
A great article summarizing all the fraud that \$sava has been caught doing (so far). There has been so much I lose track myself, so here is a good reference if you are just tuning in 😊 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471133395291975688 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 38.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 247-251, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Both are true, data are fake and should be discarded if obtained in violation of patients rights. No change in our case, just more evidence \$sava is a shambolic charade https://cassavafraud.com/docs/SAVARReportDeck_2021_11_03.pdf https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471298668150116354 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 38.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I would say that anything which doesn't have to do with helping to uncover fraud can very likely wait until January. 😊 https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1471300397339979786 (Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 38.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Imagine what it must be like to be @lindsaybbar, the CSO of a scientific fraud like \$SAVA, knowing the charade is about to come crashing down & the last 15 years of	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>your work is fake, & going on LinkedIn every day to comment on stock promotion videos put out by "Tendies Club"</p> <p>I think it's more about trying to fool herself into thinking that there are people who still trust and believe in her \$SAVA "science".. she needs the affirmation, even if it's coming from the clueless.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1471532842693898246</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 39.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Follow this thread to understand why \$sava bonus structure plan is an incentive for fraud and how bulls are lying when they call it "FUD"</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471478724998668292</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 39.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It looks like my perfectly reasonable letter regarding an opaque investigation of the \$sava manipulated images by JoN didn't make the latest issue. I trust electronic publication can proceed without further delay 🍑 The</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>scientific community deserves transparency @MarinaP63</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471481003445268487</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 39.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The lying to the public, nih, fda and sec should not “rest”, these are not issues that need to await the p3 trial results... for a summary see http://cassavafraud.com or for an even more complete history</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471530970515968011</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 39.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 247-251, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>A great concise timeline of the \$sava scam from painful 😊 origin to shambolic dissembling end game</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471623173363978247</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 40.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>The attempted coverup here may turn out to be worse (for Remi and Lindsay) than the original crime..</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1471945552166363146</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 40.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>I'm going with the simplest reasonable explanation as the most likely; the members that were on the board are ashamed to be associated with the scam and have resigned. \$sava would rather just bury that fact by erasing the web link than make an announcement. You're welcome Pat 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471891940513878026</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 40.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>I think the cognitive scores, like the biomarker data are lies and meant to defraud investors and contaminate the market for real science. \$sava does a disservice to AD patients, and investors. What I have said about \$sava research is true and undisputed by \$sava 🙏</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 216-221, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471936321950302210 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 40.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Either I am an annoying skeptic or Remi is a sociopathic criminal taking advantage of sick and desperate people. Skeptic is an important and critical role in scientific inquiry while sociopath is an undisputed societal evil. Focus your attention as you see fit. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471937261189087234 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 40.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I don't pretend or want to understand Remi. Yes P3 will fail. There is no need to put off the exposing and punishing the fraudulent behavior until that time. They are currently engaged in a massive fraud and should be stopped. The P3 is an additional but separate matter, imo https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471941808582283272 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 40.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
The \$sava lies never stop 	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471945262239207428 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 40.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Important point on the continuing obligation JoN has to it's readers and the scientific community regarding \$sava fraud in it's journal. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1471961847876272129 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 41.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
HBO has already made an excellent documentary on \$SAVA (and \$AMC) https://hbomax.com/series/urn:hbo:series:GX72QuwdZ0yGqwgEAAAY4 ²⁰ (in all seriousness, it's worth watching.) https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1472395449285976067 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 41.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

²⁰ This is a link to an HBO documentary titled “Heaven’s Gate: Cult of Cults.”

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Hopefully in time for[santa] to cross off the naughty list \$SAVA getting coal tho</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1472153853764247554</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 41.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>It only takes Wang, Remi and probably Burns to be active liars. Some at \$sava are turning blind eye. Reg agencies haven't engaged yet. Sites are not privy to manipulation and need not be complicit.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1472262528377970696</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 41.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>It took a decade for Remi to build the \$sava scam. Through scientific teamwork and perseverance we have exposed this fraud. The tide is turning 🌊 #ENDALZ</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1472360231678234630</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 41.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>How it's not below cash with all the legal fights coming and possible looting via "bonus" plan is beyond me 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1472388868422909953</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 41.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>It is precisely FOR those humans taking Simufilam that we are fighting! I believe it is unethical and counterproductive to give false hope and profit from that!!! Placebo can be had elsewhere and just as effectively. We should be exploring REAL treatments!</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1472552308487692295</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 42.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>[Twitter poll] Which \$SAVA / Wang paper will be next to receive an editorial Expression of Concern?</p> <p>https://pubpeer.com/search?q=hoau-yan+wang</p> <p>Wang 2017, Neurobio Aging</p> <p>48.6%</p> <p>Wang 2020, JPAD</p> <p>11.4%</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Wang 2005, Neuroscience 11.4%</p> <p>Wang 2021, Neuroscience 28.6%</p> <p>35 votes</p> <p>Final results</p> <p>Does Wang 2017 deserve to win first for its physical impossibility, or Wang 2021 for comedy? \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1473007618750832646</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 42-43.)</p>		
<p>It is also the one that is absolutely central to the claim/lie that Simuflimflam has some kind of “mechanism of action” that might do anything for AD in humans.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1473021298494296064</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 43.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The funny thing about that BS 2005 \$SAVA paper that Wang is now desperately trying to keep alive by fabricating “original” data is that</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>we already know the “drug” that Pain Tx was trying to develop based on that “science” was a total failure, the first of Remi's many debacles.</p> <p>Wang has been fabricating his entire career, so I guess it shouldn't be so surprising that he would continue to do so.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1473137579390377987</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 43.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>That's 2 \$sava-fraud Wang papers on the road to retraction... only 29 more to go 🇬🇧🇬🇧</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1472903116202729475</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 43.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Helpful lesson on why the central thesis of \$sava is an absolute lie. It's physically impossible to support their femtomolar affinity claim using their methods... STILL no comment by Remi, why? 🤖... (hint: because it was always a lie 😊)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1472904557218906116 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 43.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Award ceremony for \$sava's most brazen lie will be held in Twitter Spaces on January 27th, get your votes in. Reply to this tweet with you favorites. Winner gets 🏆 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1473013645571469318 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 43.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
But just on sheer impact, this guy is probably the winner. An image manipulation in response to questions of image manipulation followed by market manipulation. I could see jail time and an Editor resigning justified by this one pic alone. 🚗 \$sava https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1473031196334596099 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 43-44.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 274-280, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Here's my letter to @JuanLerma1 concerning the latest round of apparent data fabrication and cover-up by \$SAVA & Dr. Wang, in	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Neuroscience https://pubpeer.com/publications/5E71DFFFC843817787A90968A16765#12</p> <p>The cowardice and complicity of journals & their editors in cover-ups needs to end. @MicrobiomDigest @MarinaP63 https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1473256153467469835</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 44.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Decent chance that \$SAVA ends red.. Just another pathetic and increasingly desperate pump-and-dump onto the ignorant and credulous.</p> <p>It is all made up. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1473315921972314118</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 44.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Some great new analysis on PubPeer pointing out and quantifying the latest \$SAVA lies and fabrications that were already plain to see for anyone who just looked. https://pubpeer.com/publications/5E71DFFFC843817787A90968A16765</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1473440623894175751 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 44.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I said we don't know because sava lies about everything, but I'll give you that we don't know that it isn't safe. I don't think i ever claimed that we know it isn't safe. Kinda flimsy to call that a lie. Happy? https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1473172785035890688 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 44.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Ssava is doing societal harm as well as committing securities fraud by lying about their "science" https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1473457850584338440 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 44.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 274-280, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Because they are currently the worst example of fraud I have ever seen. They are focused on their criminal activity, we need to be just as focused. If you think other companies are worthy of our attention, please share.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1474060301607874563</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 45.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>This is probably true, were it not for the fact that their data is completely made up. The market is telling you there is a 98.3% chance \$sava is totally full of 🐞</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1474940682313863172</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 45.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>This is getting tiring.</p> <p>Maybe one of the tagged institutional accounts actually wants to weigh in and make @dogs_valley aware that they are being played for a fool. Some more attention on this</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>whole \$SAVA case probably wouldn't be all that bad.</p> <p>#EndAlz and #endfraud</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1475283618490687490</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 45.)</p>		<p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>We have said that they are liars and provided copious amounts of evidence (http://cassavafraud.com), and will continue to until they are prosecuted by the regulatory authorities, as any responsible citizen should. Why aren't you demanding answers and transparency from your co?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1475839412936323080</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 45.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Hey @lindsaybbar maybe instead of spending your time trash talking on FB you could address a foundational flaw in \$sava and answer this question? (a scientist would address however a fraud would ignore and distract by feigning outrage)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1475842855457509381</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 45.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>It doesn't matter WHO points out the fraud and lies of \$sava (ad hominem #1). Not baseless as Remi already admitted "visual errors" (you're plain wrong or lying) Wester Blots are the foundation of sava's science 🧑🔬. "Clean" is just wishful thinking #10. You look foolish.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1476114812266590209</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 45-46.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>\$sava is a fraudulent company cultivating a malicious mob through social media</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1476671467764998144</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 46.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>But does, because if Wang is a serial fraudster then that brings all P2 biomarker data into question (more so since Quanterix? didn't see what Wang saw from the same samples). Plus it terminally poisons \$sava patents, sorry/not sorry 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1477077105804464135</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 46.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 216-221, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>The public - i.e. defrauded investors and patients with false hope - would not have been notified about what appears to be going on here as quickly, correct.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1477721699437232129</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 46.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>You do know why I said “Yes, yes and yes”, right? (Or are you new to this story?)</p> <p>There is direct evidence of fraud, some aspects are more evident (or “probable”) than others, and much of the hard evidence has been known for months.</p> <p>So, what’s your point?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1477828084539797507</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 46.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Will @JoeSpringer aka \$SAVA SteroidUncle incriminate himself again by pumping a faked doc?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1478044720068804610</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 46.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Not content with fabricating scientific data, the \$SAVA bulls are now fabricating correspondence from the DOJ for a pump..²¹</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1478028839150305282</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 46.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Remi is truly blessed 🤗 with the dumbest shareholders, stay tuned for \$sava sponsored @JoeSpringer pump and dump at noon 📈</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1478030144417763328</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 47.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

²¹ This tweet includes a screenshot of text saying “United Department of Justice,” where ‘States’ has been left out.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>[accompanying link to story about conviction of Elizabeth Holmes] Hey Remi, did you catch this? Maybe you two could be roommates \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1478161351772155911</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 47.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Even Theranos never claimed 98% accurate blood tests like \$sava much less for detecting AD 🤪</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1478170474131644421</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 47.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Second step is to root out the frauds that are already in the market \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1478858109565161473</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 47.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Matt, how about you go through our actual letter with a red pen, identify the “logical leaps”, and we can discuss.</p> <p>The issues in Wang’s papers aren’t mistakes. It was deliberate scientific fraud, over decades. Either you still don’t understand, or your don’t want to understand.</p> <p>The problem is that they have never slowed cognitive decline. It’s all a con based on shifting targets.. from “binding” to “biomarkers” to non-significant open-label sleight of hand to fool you.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1479265136640729090</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 47-48.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 216-221, 240-245, 247-251, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>As we’ve discussed ad nauseum, OL cognition is BS to begin with, and there are obvious problems in that data (changing baselines, dropouts). It is uninterpretable & meaningless, yet you cling to it despite co and Remi TELLING you that you can’t rely on it.. b/c they know it is BS</p> <p>Not to mention that most of the biomarker data was made up and/or cherry-picked..</p> <p>Go read our letter where we (esp @DRnotaDR) explain exactly how and why</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 216-221, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>the biomarker data appears to be made up and/or cherry-picked.</p> <p>https://cassavafraud.com/docs/SAVARReport_Nov2_Final_links.pdf</p> <p>Page 6 through 12. I look forward to your detailed rebuttal.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1483579466760740871</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 48.)</p>		
<p>Do you ever read anything and think for yourself or do you just keep telling yourself the lies that Remi told you because it makes you feel better?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1479296411669827584</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 48.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>yeah, our interests were heightened by the high price and the weighing in of some prominent scientists, so? We were shorting this scam way before the CP, btw.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1479263123949473794</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 48.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>I feel the same way; the fraud, it never ends 😊 ... so, how many patients have been dosed? Or is that a secret? Have they cleared some journals that I do know about? Addressed any of the CPs? The next "FUD" is more FOIAs then CUNY, NIH, SEC, FDA and then class action law suits.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1479275328962969600</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 48-49.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I suppose if they admit the fraud, replace all guilty and complicit parties, inform all participants that it's based on BS and throw themselves on the mercy of the court, I would not care if they ran an independently monitored P3 🙏</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1479301061827612673</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 49.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>What if..... hear me out.... They are lying? It's been known to happen (Remi and Wang even have a history of it 😬)... still want in?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1479302237310656516</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 49.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Also, the whole "curing Alzheimer's" thing is improbable, and the 15 years of preclinical data are MADE UP.</p> <p>We're talking about the bullshit testimonial above with the person claiming an improvement in 28 days.</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>about those blots..</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1480007438933934085</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 49.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>You need to prevent a perverse incentive for fraud, for the benefit of all AD research and patients</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1479941716660699136</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 49.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>You didn't address the perverse incentive. Remi is a product of this perversion, he learned from pti that one can lie to the public and get away with merely fines... look it up 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1479945051652435972</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 49.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>You are missing the point, it's not the salary, it's allowing the criminal to get away with it. "He robbed the bank, but let's see what he does with the money" is not good for society, imo</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1479948076097974274</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 49.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>I can't wait for \$sava presenting the medical discovery of the century, the cure for Alzheimer's, at #JPM22... Sure to be followed by big "deal" news.... Oh wait... 🤔 🤔 [accompanying a photograph of a Theras device]</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1480283663728361497</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 50.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>


Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>It is exactly that. Here is the proof. \$sava has lied to the public, CTAD, SEC and now, apparently, you in private communications.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1480332813232754693</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 50.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Makes sense, so long as everyone knows they are participating in a nonsensical charade, I'm ok with people doing what they want. It's the lying that is the problem.... Understand?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1480338250971688964</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 50.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>I guess so. \$sava said Wang was blinded. The Wang lab was not blinded as we showed in the emails. Therefore, \$sava lied. If you can't understand that simple set of facts, then yes, you are stupid.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1480340493045485573</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 50.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>They dropped SavaDX in the last update, because it was always BS 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1480349649810739200</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 50.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>That is not the point here. The point is simply that there is evidence in black and white that SAVA / Wang photoshopped images that they sent to JNeuro as “originals”, and then pumped the stock based on their “erratum”</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1480688243578388480</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 50.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>\$sava has (likely) been manipulating their data for years and is under investigation by sec, fda, nih and cuny for scientific misconduct</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1480712729660899330</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 50.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 247-251, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>plenty of evidence the data is not real: http://cassavafraud.com how much do you need to see before you admit that it's more likely a known liar is lying out curing AD than some mediocre failed scientists stumbled upon the discovery of the century and just happen to be liars too.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1480723355930177536</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 50-51.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Post a pic of literally anything in your vicinity that says you are a doctor 9:40... go ahead, you can black out the name if you are ashamed of believing Simufilam isn't a scam</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1480731617853329411</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 51.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>a lot of different people / groups seem to have been aware for over a decade that Wang was</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>the man to call for a collaboration if you wanted experiments to miraculously work, with lots of western blots to prove it</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1481444869969223682</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 51.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Is \$sava cherry-picking respected institutions (Yale and Rush) out of their trials or are reputable institutions preserving their dignity by dropping \$sava. Waiting for Remi to tell us how both are bullish indicators for the P3 trials 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1481239751084716037</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 51.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>They can't even retain a Scientific Advisory Board, and those guys are paid! \$sava such an obvious scam 😬</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1481253519390908419</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 52.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I like this \$sava manipulated image in response to accusations of \$sava manipulating images 🤖 🤖 h/t Bik</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1481341654976057348</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 52.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>\$sava @lindsaybbar show us the receipts ... lol... as if 😏</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1481408237366874116</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 52.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Wang may well be crazy, and Burns may have been gullible (but tbh I seriously doubt that). However, they have both known for some time about serious problems in their papers, and all they have done is lie, gaslight, and attempt a cover-up. There are no innocent explanations.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1481703615563771910</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 52.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
 My one theory is \$sava is a scam https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1482060843500064771 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 52.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Or leaving the cure for Alzheimer's in the hands of 4 time failure Remi and 11 mediocre shady colleagues. For the sake of the patients they should be crying the loudest for Remi's firing. Yet 🤔 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1482137776745291779 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 52.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I might sound like a broken record but want a rigorous audit because of: physically impossible binding, several altered and duplicated mouse brain micrographs, non-existent 7nAChR antibodies, repeated photoshop edits, and terrible unscientific responses, to name just a few. https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1481980950452092930	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 52.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>No, I think Remi cherry-picks the “first 50” patients after he looks at the randomly distributed data to make whatever “result” he thinks will pump the stock price.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1482759500776058891</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 52.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 274-280, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Hey @JuanLerma1, clean this mess you made up. You degrade the value of everything published in your journal if you let fraud in and keep it there, even when pointed out to you 😬</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1482865859978833922</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 53.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$SAVA is worse than Theranos</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1483101856771497988</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 53.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>ssava CEO Remi Barbier can't make it through a sentence with The New Yorker without coming off as a liar 😏</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1483060986789740544</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 53.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Wang is a total fraud. All of his work is destined to be retracted.</p> <p>Bordey took Burns at her word & didn't do the experiments necessary to test MoA of Simuflimflam.</p> <p>Picciotto got fooled by Burns & made a major error as an editor; to her credit she partially corrected her mistake.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1483579466760740871</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 54.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>All it would take is for you to be intellectually honest. Or just plain honest. But neither you, nor the SAVAgEs, nor Remi, Burns, nor Wang can ever be honest, because that would immediately stick a fork in the gift.</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1483590799342325762 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 54.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
There are also dozens of other Wang papers, some central to the story, some less so, but all adding to the evidence that is entire body of work is fraudulent. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1483645453463924736 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 54.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Did you miss this obvious fraud published in your journal: yes! https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1483380512844660739 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 54.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It makes me sad to say, but the very fact that scientific journals have willingly become a target of financial schemes is worrying. From	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 274-280, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>an evidence-based perspective it is overwhelmingly clear that the submitted "original" data is highly problematic, this should be acknowledged!</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1483447262793641994</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 54-55.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$SAVA science is a total fraud and those relating hopeful anecdotes about patients currently in the clinical trials are either fools or shills.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1484016429770817537</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 55.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$SAVA Photoshop guru Dr Wang has paper retracted due to his Western Blot Long list of co-authors left²²</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1483934941977137155</p> <p>(Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 55.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

²² Includes pictures of authors of publication and accompanying quote tweet.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Yeah, that's a good point. If they really were a fraud, I think they would just straightforward tell everyone and be as open about it as possible.</p> <p>Because if you run a fraud why on earth would you want to trick people into believing it? 😞</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1483939998588153862</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 55.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>icymi MOAR \$sava fraud news. A clean paper retraction by a group that was unfortunate enough to collaborate with Dr Wang 😞</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1484153502482022400</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 55.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>See @JuanLerma1 it's not that hard to call a fraud a fraud... wake up and do your job 😞</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1484229219974533126 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 55.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
spelled out pretty clearly here, Wang attempted to clear himself of image manipulation accusations by producing manipulated images AGAIN (cc @JuanLerma1 @MarinaP63) \$sava https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1484230455452962817 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 55.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Clearly a cry for help from Wang for someone to come stop him from committing more fraud. In most fields this would lead to one's license to practice being revoked. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1484234255693754375 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 55.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Why more likely? He has motive, opportunity and the means. This is the third time he has tried to fake his way out of a fraud allegation 🤖</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1484257666163777543</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 56.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Not cool to scam desperate patients, families and gullible investors 🗨️ Very cool to call out fraud 👍</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1484350382453059588</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 56.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 274-280, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>They certainly are going to claim ignorance of everything and throw Wang under the bus. But the fake 'original' blots that Burns and Wang concocted to fool @MarinaP63 and @JuanLerma1 will be their downfall</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1484550102853160961</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 56.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>@CUNY Get Dr Wang an Adobe Pro licence already!!! \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1484497576212717572</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 56.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It EXPLICITLY says that fig. 9 was the ONLY one produced by Wang. All the other stuff was performed in a different lab! The study is legit, except for Wang's part, which was faked!</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1484574118255149058</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 56.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>It looks like he has been doing this for decades and it always worked, nobody called him out. He probably has gotten used to faking results that are convenient or necessary. As was the case here.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1484610194059448325</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 56.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Is this the “harassment” you accuse us shorts of doing? By attacking the messenger are you conceding you have no response to the message? (The message is \$sava is a fraud 😊)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1485952774227566598</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 57.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Because the company lies about their data, for starters</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1486130090916384769</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 57.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
You guys should get Dr. Wang to do a seminar on Photoshop tips and tricks before he leaves \$SAVA https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1486917731110686720 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 57.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It was a joke about a very specific chopping action. ²³ I've been making fun of Wang to be sure, but that is because his papers are a joke and he is a fraud. There is nothing racist about it. (Nor do I take offense at the savants calling me hell-butt, which is much less funny.) https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1486917731110686720 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 57.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

²³ This is in reference to a YouTube video the tweet links to. Adrian appears to be referring to the method employed for chopping the human brain tissue used in Cassava's research.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I'm behind now, but when \$sava blows-up I will make up ground with my epic dunks on the sava bulls... they will be deleting accounts in fear of the flames coming their way 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1486800587178094593</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 57.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Yes, we shall focus on the sociopaths Remi, Wang, Lindsay and the cadre of enablers that made it all possible 😡</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1486822024202883077</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 57.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>No we don't want gullible dupes like yourself in AD investing. It attracts fraudsters and conmen which is bad for all the companies. If you want to help AD, get out of investing and just give money to patient help groups 👍</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1488691104702676996</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 57.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Right. Good point. That's why it's so incredibly important to spend money and resources only on actual, real research!</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1488661614727696385</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 58.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>no, it's total garbage that has failed and has been recycled several times already</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1489327892756635653</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 58.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>with a history of bad actors involved https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1489328329652068353 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 58.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>The company wouldn't be a microcap running on fumes if most people already hadn't already long ago come to the conclusion that it's a failure (and scam) best left for dead, but for the occasional pump to dump on a new generation of suckers.. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1489363684254269442 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 58.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Here's a thought: pay reviewers, publish less garbage and retract fraud when it's found in your journal.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1489243264498679812</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 58.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Cherry-picked, that's why you never see the full data set and you get the funky baseline movements 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1489358054651011076</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 58.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Cult stocks based on fraud tend to polarize people 🧑🏻🗨️</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1489414572826054658</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 58.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>I'm not an expert in criminal psychology. What I can tell you is self-admitted fraudster Dr Wang did not discover the cure for Alzheimer's.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1489424628254744590</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 58.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Thanks for asking. I would say the really negative aspect is probably that they don't have a legit product and faked their data.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1489250043047526401</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 58.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Remi figured out it's easier to pump a stock price than get a drug approved 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1489552518438924291</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 59.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 274-280, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>With this morning's official retraction, are now at 2 self-admitted scientific frauds by \$sava lab scientist Wang. I wonder if the CUNY investigation will agree with Wang's confessions 😞</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1489572551642783747</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 59.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Ok, sure, can't argue there. But do busy people also fake data or pretend like it's not obvious that something extremely fishy is going on when someone points to it?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1489559832164188166</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 59.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>My real name is out there. I am not hiding it. I'll admit that I perhaps have become a bit obsessed but that's mainly because this appears to be a truly egregious case of fraud!</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1489584239389683714</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 59.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Wang and Remi are lying to you [accompanying GIF saying “IT’S A SCAM”] https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1490118225052545024 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 59.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 267-272, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
He fights so hard b/c they know the affinity, or lack thereof, is their Achilles heel. fM binding is provably made up of whole cloth, & as it fails it invalidates everything. No binding; no target; no drug. Fictional screens, nonsensical pharmacology, & lies in the IND and IB. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1490506072746082305 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 59.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
The class was cancelled. Maybe it’s just because no CUNY students want to take a class from a fraud?	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1490762204831961093 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 59.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I agree that he will probably be given an opportunity to “retire to spend more time with his family”, but CUNY will still have to admit fraud or risk law suits and losing NIH funding. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1490749152019496964 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 59.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I don't know if it helps or hurts, I'm just here to find the truth 🤔. It shows there were questions about Wang's blinding way before any shorts were viciously bullying poor Remi into “visual errors” and “all-nighters” 🐱 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1490838891024117761 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 60.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>And Wang agrees with me. (The images were copied and the paper should be retracted). FYI, all you need for this scam is Wang and Remi... (plus a bunch of gullible dupes, obviously)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1490859894433632258</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 60.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 267-272, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>There was too much fraud for the sec to handle on their own, they called for backup 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1490864003194966018</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 60.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Don't forget this is a pretty serious issue as it suggests that Remi is cherry-picking OL data to produce the "amazing" cognition data (ht/Pat)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1491512209213640709</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 60.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>SSAVA remains a complete scientific fraud that is going to have its rug pulled any day, and Mr. Market knows it..</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1491812124200517633</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 60.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>There is no drug. It is all made up.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1491875647551160327</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 60.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
Thanks for asking. Doing great. You make the mistake of assuming this is mainly about money. It isn't. It's about making sure that	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>harmful shenanigans like this don't occur as easily in the future. It should not be this easy to fool and manipulate people by committing fraud.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1491769691936903168</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 60.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Hi, all good here. I'm happy if you are happy. I hope you enjoy your day and also that this all is a great learning opportunity for you and that you won't support fraudulent companies in the future. 🙏</p> <p>Btw.: Still looking forward to that coffee one day.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1491912627349565441</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 60-61.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Because it is all made up.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1492534733854679049</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 61.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Hey Neuroscience twitter - here's a weekend challenge: what is the most egregiously nonsensical BS paper ever published in the Journal of Neuroscience @SfNJournals? @MarinaP63 \$SAVA</p> <p>#neuroscience #NeuroTwitter</p> <p>Here's my nomination - Can you beat it?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1492544710191333380</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 61.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It is complete, unadulterated bullshit, and made out of whole cloth, like everything else.</p> <p>I'm still waiting for one scientist to defend it under their actual name, or propose something more outlandish from the pages of JN. Dr. Picciotto?</p> <p>https://pubpeer.com/publications/80954A76304E165873FDC462B6F64B</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1492721508195700736</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 61.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
Ok, I see you are trying to stay objective and neutral and really believe what you are writing.	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Therefore I would ask you to review your understanding of the science.</p> <p>You seriously should consider the fact that it's all made up and they are being investigated by FDA/SEC etc.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1492663429190008832</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 62.)</p>		<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The problem is that if he actually ran any of the experiments, nothing would work and he would not have publishable results. The only way for him to get “good” data is to make it up in Photoshop.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1492966836174004233</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 62.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>And the claim is that changes of all the different biomarkers in *placebo* are correlated. So *ERRORS* are perfectly correlated, and that is somehow supposed to be a good thing & “validate” Wang's fabrications as better? WTAF? Can someone please explain Lindsay's logic? \$SAVA</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 216-221, 286-287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1493231683851718670 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 62.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It is interesting to speculate when Remi found out Wang is a fraud. One can forgive him missing some of the early obvious flags (femtomolar, a7, filamin etc...) because he is not a scientist. But at this point (post P2b fail) he knows it's a fraud and is actively involved. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1493350044787003393 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 62.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 267-272, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Lawrence you have missed the point entirely. When there is no effect the fact that random fluctuations across biomarkers are insanely correlated is a sign of manipulation, really really stupid and obvious manipulation. \$sava https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1493625346108932099 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 63.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 211-214, 216-221, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
A scam for idiots	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 253-257, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1493630102806138882 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 63.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It looks like \$sava may be doing some sketchy patient selection in their OL clinical trial... I know, it's shocking 😬 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1494288600904736768 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 63.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 240-245, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Good faith question for you: Somebody is caught robbing a large sum of money from a bank. If the bank robber promises to not spend the money until it is perfectly clear that the bank can easily remain solvent, should he be allowed to keep the money and not go to jail? https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1494307881394520064 (Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 63.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>NO. Forget about the financial BS. They have good lawyers, and the payout has not triggered.</p> <p>The science is COMPLETELY MADE UP. IT IS ALL 100% BS. Nothing is real.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1494878043428564996</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 63.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 190-196, 198-203, 211-214, 216-221, 228-230, 232-238, 240-245, 247-251, 253-257, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>\$sava fans love Open Label "data", but Remi has been hiding 2/3 of the data he has already seen. I wonder why he only shows "first 50" (hint: rhymes with berry-dicking 😊)? refs from NIH FOIA follow</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1494654112603987971</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 63.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331-346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347-358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359-365</p>
<p>Study protocol and enrollment updates from NIH FOIA (that's what happens when you use public money to fund your scam, Lindsay 😊)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1494654116659879936</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 63.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 240-245, 286-287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295-301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302-315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316-330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Almost. I think it's a scam and am a bit appalled that it is taking regulatory agencies so long to act and that so many people are still defending the company. But I have learned my lesson in that those agencies apparently often can take months if not years. I was naive there. https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1495070571679334403 (Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 64.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
the @US_FDA food people are a lot more on the ball than the drug people https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1495543875036975107 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 64.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
\$sava fraud Wang https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1496231863865782283 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 64.)	FAC ¶¶ 162-167, 175-178, 180-188, 286-287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>not many quite at this level, but BioCryst in the 90s is an interesting comparison</p> <p>but there was a whistleblower on the inside..</p> <p>https://baltimoresun.com/bal-te.research24jun24-story.html</p> <p>..paging Dr. Kupiec.. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1496324913891844099</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 64.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Wang made up the papers.</p> <p>Lindsay applied for the NIH grants.</p> <p>Remi signed off on the SEC filings and sent the press releases.</p> <p>Everyone will get their day in court.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1496370551136399361</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 64.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Because they're a bunch of deluded liars saying whatever they think a bunch of dumber deluded liars might believe.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1497330863297552388</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 64.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Because it's total BS, and always has been.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1498436242035335168</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 64.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>It's not odd. They won't throw him under the bus until they absolutely have to. As soon as Wang falls, all of their "science" evaporates. Only after the science collapses will SAVA turn and blame him.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1498765213914611715</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 65.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>He [Remi] knows how not to get caught breaking the rules.</p> <p>PS. They probably did enroll and dose a handful of patients in the P3. We do not know that what they said about that was untrue, and I don't understand why so many people obsess over that point.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1498782062622486530</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 65.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 247–251, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>am I? Could you remind me? I thought I was on the record saying Wang is full of it & everything about Simufilam was made up of whole cloth.</p> <p>Has Wang done legit research? Pre-2000? Has he done a few real expts? Sure. He needs to</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>run Westerns to get diverse bands to Photoshop.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1499185731960553473</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 65.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$sava data hiding scheme (updated from 10k). Remi has seen all the data. I wonder why he hasn't shown it to investors 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1498996080763195392</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 65.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Wang can't even get it right *with* photoshop.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1499541848309837825</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 65.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 180–188, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Meanwhile, NIH @NIHAging happily funds completely fraudulent science from \$SAVA.</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1499598571611594755 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 65.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
because it's just another typo, also the whole thing is entirely made up https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1499920446984265734 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 65.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Typically, a biotech is not a soup-to-nuts scientific charade. \$SAVA https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1500187694827196417 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 66.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>This is about more than just one made-up, billion-dollar paper. Journal editors & reviewers are systematically refusing to do their jobs. They dump responsibility on flawed “AI” systems instead of using their eyes & common sense or reading & comprehending the science (fiction).</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1500205664848658434</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 66.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It's also about SCIENTIFIC institutions dismissing scientific expertise, arguments & data, & making decisions based ONLY on minimizing liability, politics, laziness, & cowardice</p> <p>The same disease that led to government ignoring science has infected the machinery of science itself</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1500207849057697793</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 66.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It's also about total contempt for anybody challenging the existing power structures and in-group of science.</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>It apparently doesn't even matter if the person raising concerns is a bona fide world expert like @MicrobiomDigest</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1500216292376260608</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 66.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>What exactly are you saying? I'm not working for anyone. I have disclosed my position. I'm biased. I'm also correct.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1500221586921689095</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 66.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Wang and \$SAVA will probably just try to blame his @CUNYNeuro postdocs and former grad students: Kuo-Chieh Lee, Zhe Pei, Amber Khan and Kalindi Bakshi</p> <p>Unfortunately, the common denominator in this 20-year mess is Dr. Wang himself.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1500307538063110147</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 66.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>He [Dr. Wang] might be aware of the fraud, yet still not acknowledge to himself that his results aren't real. It's complicated.</p> <p>You should read about Erin Potts-Kant, Anil Potti (& Nevins), Woo Suk Hwang, Piero Anversa, Elias Alsabti, Vijay Soman (& Philip Felig)</p> <p>Happens regularly. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1500586677097701377</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 67.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>


Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>And in every case, they deny, deny, deny for YEARS before it blows up and the truth comes out. And the denials and attempts at cover-ups by the senior people only increase the ultimate damage.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1500588636609425412</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 67.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>This [simufilem] is never going to get approved, because there is no there there and there is a limit to what can be made up, but that is years out and not the battle being fought.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1500692453371879425</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 67.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Do the individuals on the Editorial Board of a journal share responsibility for the content of the journal? Should they pay attention & care when their journal publishes completely fabricated nonsense?</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 180–188, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>https://journals.elsevier.com/neurobiology-of-aging/editorial-board</p> <p>Asking for a friend. @MicrobiomDigest \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1501180828272975872</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 67.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Here's the problem, in case you STILL don't get it: in the paper, Wang and \$SAVA were claiming that the pairs of images were derived from DIFFERENT MICE that had undergone DIFFERENT TREATMENTS, sacrificed four months apart.</p> <p>In other words: they are LIARS, and it is ALL MADE UP</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1501364496132104192</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 67.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>This paper is CENTRAL to Simuflam and was included in the IND and the ph2 and ph3 investigator brochure. The image duplications are just the tip of the iceberg; almost every experiment in the paper has clear evidence of fabrication.</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 247–251, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>This paper WILL go down, and with it, \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1501366846158786563</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 68.)</p>		<p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I'm sure that members of their Editorial board and other senior scientists are ready to step up to the plate and call out scientific misconduct, right?</p> <p>https://journals.elsevier.com/neurobiology-of-aging/editorial-board</p> <p>@KarlHerrup @markrcookson1 @GiovanniFrisoni @bush_professor @FrankLaFerla @Pasing01 @NIHAging @IRPatNIH</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1501369724625686534</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 68.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I think \$SAVA is going to be one of those once-in-a-decade scandals that results in congressional investigations and new laws</p> <p>@HollyLynchez @SteveJoffe @MichelleNMeyer @pzettler @GovindPersad @lietzan</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1501395842632237060 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 68.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Another BEAUTIFUL analysis by Gonaxis quadrilateralis on Pubpeer to quantify the painfully obvious image duplication in Wang 2017, first noted by @MicrobiomDigest and @PSBROOKES</p> <p>Sometimes a picture is worth a thousand words. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://pubpeer.com/publications/80DD10169D3C375C5828BC2711A49B</p> <p>cc: @KarlHerrup</p> <p>Here's the problem, in case you STILL don't get it: in the paper, Wang and \$SAVA were claiming that the pairs of images were derived from DIFFERENT MICE that had undergone DIFFERENT TREATMENTS, sacrificed four months apart.</p> <p>In other words: they are LIARS, and it is ALL MADE UP</p> <p>This paper is CENTRAL to Simufilem and was included in the IND and the ph2 and ph3 investigator brochure. The image duplications are just the tip of the iceberg; almost every</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>experiment in the paper has clear evidence of fabrication.</p> <p>This paper WILL go down, and with it, \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1501366846158786563</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 68-69.)</p>		
<p>I think \$SAVA is going to be one of those once-in-a-decade scandals that results in congressional investigations and new laws</p> <p>@HollyLynchez @SteveJoffe @MichelleNMeyer @pzettler @GovindPersad @lietzan</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1501395842632237060</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 69.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>This isn't the kind of error (like simply swapping panels) that someone could make accidentally. And it happened at least twice; these pairs are just the ones where they were sloppy enough to be caught.</p> <p>What it shows is THEY DID NOT DO THE EXPERIMENT THEY SAID, AND ARE LIARS.</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1501611252417708035 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 69.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Wang and Burns are the greatest scientific partnership since Pinky and the Brain. \$SAVA https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1501639140676411396 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 69.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
 CUNY will find that Wang's entire career littered with fraud or risk all future NIH funding for the university. Soon. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1501616769206824960 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 69.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Two lying hucksters teamed up to scam some morons is a lot more believable than some mediocre scientist stumbled upon the find of the century and garnered zero interest from the scientific community or pharma.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1501620672002506756</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 69-70.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>You have it backwards. As soon as a major discovery is made there is a rush of curious (and skeptical) scientists to replicate and extend the finding. Business-wise, pharma is famous for piling on with me-too drugs. This scam is so silly pros don't even want to stoop to discuss.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1501625066009899017</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 70.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Wang admitted he submits fraudulent work last month (self-retracted a paper based on his contribution). This exact (FLNA) same “discovery” 13 hrs ago claimed to block morphine tolerance... how'd that work out?</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>You guys are dupes round 2. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004282 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1501631952075567117 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 70.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>That “little rumor” is called a stock pump, was executed by Remi and motivated by the unique compensation scheme he set up. This is not mastermind stuff 🤔 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1501632595297288193 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 70.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 274–280, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It's totally rational if your plan is to pump the stock price on the way to ultimately failing to make a drug (like he did with Pain Therapeutics). What is crazy is to hold the stock once you know this 🤖 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1501642078517874688 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 70.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 274–280, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Remi has never made money he's only spent and pocketed investor's money \$sava will be no exception.</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 274–280, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1501647879252938757 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 70.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Is rotate, alter contrast and stretch merely sloppy or is that evidence of intent to deceive? https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1501650675578646532 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 70.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
you want to talk about research ethics, have a look at this lovely experiment, all done in the service of setting up Dr, Wang to fabricate biochemistry experiments @GradCenterBio @CUNYNeuro @HamiltonCollege \$SAVA https://pubpeer.com/publications/DBE94DCF D3B1DFA8DA0D3337C4AD35 https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1501970516604825603 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 71.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Many in vivo experiments absolutely need to be done and are the only way to study disease and expand biological knowledge, but Wang's fabrications and biological fantasies are an insult to the rats that they literally tortured here.</p> <p>@peta @PCRM</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1501974999204253699</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 71.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>that would require doing actual R&D easier to just have Wang make something up</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1502339476529549323</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 71.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>the Potti history is worth a re-read, as we wait for CUNY to come clean \$SAVA</p> <p>@hoauyanwang @lindsaybbar</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1502828180188835843</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 71.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>does anyone in the @CUNYNeuro @GradCenterBio @Gsciences @CUNYResearch @asrc_gc administration care about their reputation?</p> <p>@Elikhtik @orieshafer @susana_mingote @MaralTajerian @pinarayata</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1503059524957134850</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 71.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Remember though, this is ultimately about putting an imaginary drug into real Alzheimer's patients, as well as potential securities fraud. It is not just about some old western blots in papers nobody ever read.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1503096923342921730</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 71.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 198–203, 274–280, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
Ultimately it doesn't matter because this is not something that will be decided by public opinion (nor should it be). People do enjoy reading about grifters and cons after the facts	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>come out.. but I'm not holding my breath for a @nypost story about western blots</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1503107246988967938</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 72.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Anyone still working for Remi or Wang at this point is either complicit, delusional, or stupid. People making comments based on a New Yorker article are one thing, but those working on the inside are a different story.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1503111496225759248</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 72.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Almost everyone at \$SAVA is “management” — they have almost no operational people just doing work. The CROs working for them have plausible deniability, but it is not going to be a great look when it blows up.</p> <p>As for Wang's lab, anyone left should know better and is complicit.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1503124018274222090</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 72.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>People can debate methods & adequacy of controls, or if specific fabricated figures are essential to the main findings of the report. But when the key conclusions of the paper are self-contradictory, there will be no more weaseling or faking originals. Reductio ad absurdum. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1503920351297814528</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 72.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>WTF Adrian, are you suggesting Wang faked foundational experiments with a basic misunderstanding of pharmacology and nobody at \$sava or any of the peer reviewers or journal editors picked up on this for the last 5 years?!! 🤪</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1503798922195746818</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 72.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Clinical fraud is a very serious offense, even if those committing it tell themselves they aren't hurting anyone, so they can sleep at night.</p> <p>In truth they're actively obstructing finding a cure and ultimately making the situation worse for everyone suffering from the disease!!!</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1504105509103747073 (Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 73.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
They tried several times to get their latest fabricated 2b pre-print published, but it appears that reviewers finally wised up and Lindsay has given up, and it has been languishing on researchsquare for a year. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1503984675433459712 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 73.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
the waste thing was a bit of a joke. We already know they could not have done the experiment they claimed based on the reaction volumes. They made up the data, and put CPM numbers that aren't consistent with 3H. They're still going to keep claiming it was a typo or something. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1504286723701977090 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 73.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
curiously, Wang did a better job in his original paper claiming Naloxone binding to FLNA..	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196,	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>the counts for radioligand binding experiments there are in the ballpark of reality. (He was either more careful about making things up or measuring something else)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1504287916356476930</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 73.)</p>	<p>198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>nice to know that you care about whether any of the “science” is real or whether they are putting a fake drug into patients</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1504301095170068482</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 73.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>“We” are some Twitter friends that have been trying to expose Cassava and Wang as frauds. You can be one too 😊. All you have to do is tweet some new observation about sava fraud and you are in the club 😎</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1504481360504786945</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 73-74.)		
<p>first of many dominoes to fall on \$sava mountain of fraud... CUNY ruling right around the corner 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1506341780782034952</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 74.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I mean that's a lot of "errors" to make without intending to 😬.... Maybe they were *just* "visual errors", typos, fatigue and accidents...but given \$sava prior pattern of behavior I find that highly unlikely 😞</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1506344518769156098</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 74.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Problem is if you cannot trust the pharmacology or efficacy data they have generated, how can you trust what they reported about safety?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1506363272387342337</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 74.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 169–173, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Is there any practical approach to request patent re-examination for a case of fraud, rather than prior art or interference?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1506378844697210890</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 74.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Wang is indeed sort of brilliant and there is a certain consistency in his fantasies over the last 20 years. And Lindsay is a very good writer and editor who knows exactly how things need to sound to get past reviewers.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1506380213181202442</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 74.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>If someone writes a paper (many papers) which contain “errors” or fabrications in every figure, you can no longer believe anything in the paper. You know that they are incompetent, lying to you or both.</p> <p>Incompetent liars are not allowed to put drugs into humans. Full stop.</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1506382855844372486 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 74.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
We have explained in great detail the specific reasons that Simufilam does not and cannot work, and why we know the evidence that it “might work” was made up by Wang and \$SAVA https://cassavafraud.com/docs/SAVARReport_Deck_2021_11_03.pdf https://cassavafraud.com/docs/SAVARReport_Nov2_Final_links.pdf https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1506383324402655236 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 75.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
The most palatable way for CUNY to protect CUNY here is for CUNY to come clean and clean house ASAP. Just saying.. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1506410243126927360 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 75.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
\$SAVA bonus structure *was* entirely about stock price and nothing to do with clinical	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>milestones and Seth here is moralising about helping patients</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1506870230882177026</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 75.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Ha, I would have said that you're certainly a virgin, but that's not true, you are being f***ed regularly by your favorite company.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1507056360176955412</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 75.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>For those interested, here are all 500 pages of a FOIL response from CUNY / CCNY about \$SAVA and Dr. Wang</p> <p>There will be no secrets in the end, nor will there be any more misinformation based on selective cut-and-paste (though that is Wang's specialty..)</p> <p>https://cassavafraud.com/docs/FOIA/1_CUNY_Revised_FOIL_Request_with_Redactions.pdf</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1507031262606856201</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 75.)		
<p>At your service. Here's the \$SAVA Ph3 Investigator's Brochure, showing how the clinical charade critically depends on results from flawed and made-up papers that now have editorial Expressions of Concern hanging over them.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1507096496361115652</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 75.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 247–251, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Looks like \$sava has canceled their fireside chat with bottom-feeding Maxim group 🤔... if Remi can't handle softballs from corporate fluffers I wonder how he'll hold up on the stand 🤔</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1508839020301848583</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 76.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$sava is too sketchy for Maxim 🤔 yeesh, that's bad 🤔</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1508964284134567941</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 76.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Sharing a lineup with a MC<\$100m panel is too much pressure for \$sava because Remi can't answer a single question without further incriminating himself 🤖</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1508968128969158673</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 76.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>BOOM!</p> <p>This paper was Wang's original sin underlying ALL of the \$SAVA nonsense -- the claim that Naloxone binds to Filamin-A. It is bogus, and now retracted.</p> <p>IT IS ALL MADE UP. THEIR DRUG DOES NOT BIND A TARGET AND COULD NOT HAVE BEEN DISCOVERED AS DESCRIBED IN THEIR PATENTS.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1509241303732731905</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 76.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
and Wang / Burns \$SAVA were caught red-handed sending more faked "original" blot images to @PLOSONE, just like they initially	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>bamboozled @SfNJournals @MarinaP63 and @JuanLerma1 @ELSneuroscience</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1509244697444814851</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 76.)</p>	<p>221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>This is the beginning of the end for \$SAVA. It is going to be much easier for @CUNYResearch @GC_CUNY @CUNY as well as the other more cowardly journals to take appropriate action now, and all will be revealed.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1509249134016016384</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 76.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Arthur, it is all made up. From mechanism to biomarkers to cherry-picked cognitive nonsense from the sketchiest “clinic” in South Florida. Keep fooling yourselves, but this is the beginning of the end.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1509305012320624641</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 77.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Hey Arthur - I know you're a science expert now, but I have a legal question for you. Suppose somebody made up data, sent it to a journal, then halted the stock & sent out a press release, and the stock briefly doubled. Do you think there might be a securities fraud case there?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1509325669217484800</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 77.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 274–280, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$SAVA foundational paper is Good thing they didn't rely on it for clinical trials and NIH grants</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1509252438716473354</p> <p>(Miliore via Twitter. FAC App. A at 77.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 175–178, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>See @MarinaP63 @JuanLerma1 it's not that hard to do the right thing.. and you don't end up looking like a silly dupe either.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1509251261509611521</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 77.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Just FTR, in “explaining” a rotated and duplicated image they say they made TWO errors; the first image was wrong, second was rotated 🤔 So in first submission they “accidentally” copied, rotated and pasted the wrong image... 🤔.. and @ELSn neuroscience believed this 🤔 WOW</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1509584029745651729</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 77.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>But there were absolutely no “accidental” errors in any of the calculations and the data is completely unchanged 🙌 sure guys 🤔</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1509585427199578129</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 77.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Go ahead, try it out & play around with an imaging software of your choice. You could easily find out that what I’m saying is true, but you are afraid of the truth! You’d have to acknowledge you have been advertising fraud</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>all along, and you can't find it in yourself to do that.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1510439479047331842</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 77.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The study is nonsense, the biochemistry is 100% made up, & the investigators have proven, in black & white on paper, that they are completely dishonest, unapologetic liars.</p> <p>And one casts aspersions, not dispersions. The only thing being dispersed around here is Wang's bullshit.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1510349774834348035</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 78.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Please read the pubpeer comments. The science is wrong. Several of the experiments described are *impossible* and make no sense. In fact, the paper is not even wrong; it is fiction. It is not science, and the authors are not acting as scientists \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1510351351536046084</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 78.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Read between the lines, Sean.</p> <p>Dr. Bik looks at a LOT of papers, Sean, and she wisely abstains from making specific accusations about everyone's motives.</p> <p>But in this particular case, plenty of others have seen enough to call it out as the fraud and scientific misconduct it is.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1510355158726848518</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 78.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Peril is what scientists may find themselves in when they are caught red-handed fabricating data and lying about it, especially when they are heads of departments or when they got federal funding to do their “research”</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1510360345034072064</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 78.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>No, most scientists are not serial fraudsters. Wang is.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1510369544921047043</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 78.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>The 2 Wang and Burns (SVP \$sava) retractions have a curious note saying Wang still stands by the findings, but not one for Burns 😊 Maybe Burns' lawyers have SEC considerations that Wang's do not 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1510957462559637509</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 78.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Someone help me out; does this look like a copy-paste with intention to deceive? @lindsaybbar @MarinaP63 @JuanLerma1(h/t @hoauyanwang \$sava)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1511082484892676103</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 79.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 180–188, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$sava fireside: “it’s too soon to talk about SavaDX milestones”, but last year we were told clinical validation study coming 2021. Similar story with a “non-dilutive deal”... speaks to Remi’s pattern of misleading</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 253–257, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>investors.. ofc still clinging the BIG LIE of Simufilam</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 79.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>They will wait for the CUNY internal investigation to complete, then review and do their own, then issue administrative actions, up to and including lifetime</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1511693248842158090</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 79.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Looks like Remi done let the cat out of the bag in his Fireside. \$sava CEO admits Cherry-picked OL data may not be “valid” or “accurate” 😬... maybe his lawyers are finally exerting some influence.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1512039586691756040</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 79.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>\$sava has been living on pumpy selective releases of OL data for 18 months. But ever since SEC, NIH and FDA scrutiny Remi has grown bashful. Odd 🙄 considering we know from the OL protocol he's got the "data"</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1512092792885067777</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 79.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>If you are still gullible enough to be in \$sava, here is a graph to help you estimate when they will have all their sites "recruiting"... mind you they may be "paused" (which would explain "lumpy" 60 enrollment only .25 enrolled per site per month 🙄)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1512101034247741443</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 79–80.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>If I was not a fraud; I would be out every day defending my work, replicating my experiments and answering all comers. If I had been lying for 20 years; I would stay quiet, hide at home and retire as part of a negotiation with CUNY.</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1512187504920780809 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 80.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Hey, Vegan, you are confusing a tiny mishap with faking data for over 20 years, in more than 30 publications and thereby likely committing securities fraud and insider trading. So, yes, a “little slap on the wrist” would be completely inappropriate!!! Are you really this naive? https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1512192575431749641 (Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 80.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 274–280, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Anyone have any guesses about why the City University of New York @CUNY might have needed to retain Arnold & Porter starting last year? The timing is curious. \$SAVA @adamfeuerstein @joewalkerWSJ @davidamichaels https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1512524557172850693 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 80.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
CUNY has fired people and settled with the government for much less than is at stake with Dr. Wang and \$SAVA	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1512600260186628098 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 80.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
This is what happened the last time a CUNY professor was the target of a DOJ investigation. \$SAVA https://nytimes.com/2018/05/31/nyregion/medgar-evers-college-certificates.html https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1512621445406511111 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 80.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Ultimately, even their incompetent board are not going to incinerate \$200m to preserve Lindsay's pride. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1512644689077358594 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 80.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Like all things \$sava, the SAB saga: 1. It was never legit 2. Called-out	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>3. Sava "fixes"</p> <p>4. Even that is a lie</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1512740862861664256</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 81.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$sava not having a 9mo interim readout with effect sizes like this (and statistical significance with N=50) is practically medical and definitely statistical malpractice (unless they know it's BS 😊)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1512815021436411904</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 81.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 247–251, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I'm guessing they sold their names, but now that it's obviously a scam the cost to their reputations (what's left of them) is too high and they want out. Never Forget the \$sava SAB 8</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1512823295057485830</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 81.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Hunting corporate fraudsters got me distracted 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1512888044654845965</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 81.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Dr. Wang is a wizard with Adobe Illustrator too.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1514254687209467910</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 81.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>this article is excellent, but \$SAVA is even worse than it seems and this is just the start.. see http://cassavafraud.com for all the sordid details</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516209410544214020</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 81.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>but multi-decade scientific frauds and completely fabricated data in IND submissions to the FDA are much less common, and that is what this is</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516212420926132230</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 81.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 247–251, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Remi has kept up the charade for 22 years.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516254200363204608</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 82.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Well the New York Times has (finally) taken on the colossal \$sava fraud story 👍</p> <p>Chapter 1:</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1516229157549912064</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 82.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>They could have hit the moral evil of the \$sava story a little harder, how manipulative this fraud is of vulnerable patients, how terrible this is for investment in AD research and how this casts doubt on future Alzheimer's findings, good start NYT, but pick up your game for CH2</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1516232639799123968</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 82.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Thanks @apoorva_nyc for bringing this important story to your readers. I hope you will stick with this and highlight the victims and the societal harm this company is causing as you follow up.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1516234315566252035</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 82.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>also ignores broader 20-year scientific fraud at CUNY involving many collaborators, lack of CUNY oversight, crazy IP policy, SAB enablers, CUNY inaction, coming False Claims cases, FDA IND evaluation fail, NIH review fail, journal & University coverups, and securities fraud \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516374076633268230</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 82.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Unfortunately, CUNY actually has not yet taken Wang away from his lab or Photoshop</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516415738961178642</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 82.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>@CUNY @CUNYNeuro @GradCenterBio @CityCollegeNY @CUNYResearch @GC_CUNY @ChancellorCUNY @EDNYnews @CunyMedicine</p> <p>Do you have anything to say about Dr. Wang's long (and ongoing) scientific fraud at CUNY and \$SAVA? How can you stay silent?</p> <p>@MelissaKleinNYP @TamarLapin @cayla_bam</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516428977514201090</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 82-83.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Is CUNY really only willing to curtail scientific misconduct on its campuses when its hand is forced by the Justice Department?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516430057132208130</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 83.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
same with Wang. He is crazy and crooked and sloppy, but no fool.	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516487342072205315 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 83.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
it is all premeditated, but he still got sloppy with photoshop https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516491651295985668 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 83.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
All of Wang's BINDING data (and it is still BINDING, and was described as such, whether there are more than two reactants, or one of them changes conformation) was completely made up. Basic kinetics and thermodynamics still apply, and there is no magic here, only lies and liars. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516526225602367491 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 83.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It's not just unverified preclinical data -- though not everyone wants to say it yet, in this instance, it was almost entirely made up.	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>The data submitted in support of an IND to do clinical trials is supposed to be carefully scrutinized by FDA, but they missed all the problems.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516534756703457282</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 83.)</p>	<p>221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 247–251, 286–287</p>	<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>No, because examining their papers led me to believe their data and MoA was entirely made up.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1516547237618360320</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 83.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>This was \$sava and accompanied by a stock halt... I think I could get a jury to convict on market manipulation (with intent)...maybe not a slam-dunk, but close enough to force Remi into a deal with jail time 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1516472024767422464</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 83–84.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 274–280, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>


Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Yes there is a misconduct investigation of \$sava Wang (cc: @apoorva_nyc) and it looks like the CUNY scientists think there is good reason to find fraud... let's see if the "leaders" at @CUNY can figure this one out 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1516566336666619905</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 84.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>You haven't argued anything. All our points are uncontested by either you or \$sava, it's pathetic.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1516584760079896580</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 84.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>What's past is prologue: a nice summary of the \$sava crime family's earlier shenanigans as PTI and the trial and settlement that resulted. Remi hasn't changed a bit.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1516982949396197376</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 84.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>all the binding data was made up</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196,</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1517198081107898368 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 84.)	198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
No, Arthur, Wang made everything up. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1517200526831673345 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 85.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>The fact that editors, reviewers, and the FDA failed to do their jobs in the past does not make Wang's made up results real. It will all be retracted eventually.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1517235370798329857</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 85.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Hi @maitejuncoNYC - does @CUNY have any comment on any of this Wang / \$SAVA business yet?</p> <p>also, if you see Mr. Davis, please say hi for me and ask him to check his email</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1517246402987974656</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 85.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>ITC is not very tricky at all; that was the beauty.</p> <p>The 2b biomarker question is a good one, and I can only speculate. Perhaps some of the people who decided to send samples to Lund were not aware of the fraud at the time? The original plan was to have Wang do the analysis..</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1517470683885936640</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 85.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 206–209, 211–214, 216–221, 223–226, 228–230, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Check the trial protocol, Arthur. They originally wanted to send the samples to Wang.</p> <p>There was certainly a lot of delusion, and still is.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1517478000824135682</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 85.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>No, it shows someone realized how inappropriate it was for Wang to do it, and \$SAVA's hand was forced, because nobody could say out loud "but it is a fraud, guys, and will only work if Wang does it"</p> <p>What did Lindsay, Remi, and Friedmann know, and when did they know it?</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1517480871544213507 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 85.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I think their man Hoau-Yan “Photoshop” Wang is too busy fielding inquiries from the Feds and no longer available to run more ELISAs or photoshop Western blots, so \$SAVA are up a creek without a paddle. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1517522433649090561 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 86.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Either: One calculation error+ One calibration error+ One concentration error+ Backwards thermodynamics + 2 extra binding sites+ The most fantastic serendipitous finding in medical history OR One more lie from an admitted liar 😞 tough choice	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1517667962248781824 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 86.)		
 That is a defensible argument, but only if they admit to the lies of last decade. I would agree to let the trial continue if the fraud is punished and the incentive to commit further fraud is removed (i.e. Remi and Wang are removed from \$sava and cooperate with authorities) https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1517673038107480066 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 86.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It is not acceptable to file an IND with fabricated data, and FDA needs to (and will) eventually make that clear, as will the SEC. Even if the investigational drug may be a harmless placebo. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1517857926601494528 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 86.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 247–251, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
How about the steadily growing body of evidence that \$sava and Wang do a lot of lying	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>(or make a lot of "mistakes"), does that effect your assessment?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1517940911086309376</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 87.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The hole in our case is if Remi is not lying about the OL data. In that case, we have witnessed the most remarkable event in human history of a bunch of lying nitwits stumbling upon the cure for AD simply by random chance and in spite of their best efforts to appear incompetent.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1517945446911471616</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 87.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>However Remi's behavior since being publicly questioned suggests he was simply lying and not amazingly lucky. That liars are far more common than once-in-a-lifetime serendipitous discoveries also suggests \$sava is going to cash-liabilities <\$0</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1517946628379856896</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 87.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Cleaning out fraudsters from public funding and investing leaves more for legitimate research and ultimately helps our chances of developing effective therapies.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1518360148170969091</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 87.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The research misconduct on NIH grants (which is what CUNY is going to be most concerned with) was going on long, long before Pei arrived on the scene. It's all on Wang. Pei might as well save herself and cooperate.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1518656607202250753</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 87.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Also, two of these flagged grants were from Sava to work on their P2b clinical trial. Specifically the SavaDX and CSF biomarker work. Both efforts were determined to warrant a scientific misconduct investigation. FDA can't be happy about fraudulent work done in human subjects</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1518615410593128448</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 206–209, 211–214, 216–221, 223–226, 228–230, 253–257, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 87.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It's not nit-picking, it's establishing a 20 year pattern of lying that informs how one might evaluate \$sava current claims. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1518667774805135360 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 88.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Yeah so in his castigation of the NYT, Remi chides reporter for not checking her facts on UCSF involvement in Trials... problem is they AREN'T 🤔🤔🤔... Maybe check YOUR facts first Remi you sloppy liar \$sava https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1519082195691921408 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 88.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
The only companies with a “similar MOA” to \$sava are Theranos, WeWork and Bernie Madoff 😏 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1519109102592536576 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 88.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I'm going with the simplest most obvious explanation based on months of experience with Remi and that is that he's an incorrigible liar. How's that big Deal coming along?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1519113238784483328</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 88.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It's going to be hard after they put Wang's gel boxes into the evidence locker.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1519424933662756865</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 88.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The question is why didn't JnJ buy \$SAVA at low 100Ms when Bredt saw the "confidential data" - instead they set up a web of evil short-sellers to tank it?? Premium tinfoil content right here</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1519273728735068162</p> <p>(Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 88.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Who's excited for \$sava fireside chat? 🙋🙋 I wonder if Remi will address his ridiculous</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>claim that UCSF is involved in his scam Clinical Trial?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1519363541408571393</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 88.)</p>		<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Nice catch! Yeah it looks like UCSF was thinking about getting caught up in the \$sava scam, but reconsidered this morning 🤔.. add UCSF to Yale and Rush as institutions that didn't want to sully their reputations (before/after pics 📷)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1519381562193817600</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 88-89.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>If the whole thing weren't a con, any responsible \$sava board would have canned Remi long ago. If Remi actually believed in Simufilam, he would realize he doesn't have the skills or temperament to develop a drug and resign with his shares. Just sayin</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1519423192720236544</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 89.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>\$sava has existential patent problems and possible JAIL for Wang, Remi and Burns.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1519622523482222592</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 89.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>There is a reason the short float is so high. It's because \$sava is a scam company based on lies. But don't let that effect your "technical analysis" 😬</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1519686812339482625</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 89.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>My dude, \$sava is a scamCo, don't tell your followers to buy frauds 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1519765788248494083</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 89.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I don't like liars, especially in science. And to do it at the clinical level, taking advantage of desperate people is particularly disgusting. Remi is a sociopath and needs to be stopped. \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1519832798571470854</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 89.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>All I want is Remi in jail... we do not have mutually exclusive goals 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1519840409236774915</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 89.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>City University of New York is the epicenter of the Cassava \$SAVA fraud.</p> <p>By LAW, @CUNY holds PUBLIC HEARINGS in each borough. These are virtual, w/ video & written testimony.</p> <p>I wrote the Trustees a letter. CUNY censored it. So here's my latest testimony.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1520085533107630082</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://youtu.be/0PqfLcmu2jk (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 89-90.)		
<p>Based on how they stifle expression in their public hearing, CUNY seems to want to cover something up.</p> <p>https://cuny.edu/about/trustees/borough-hearings/</p> <p>@maitejuncoNYC @ChancellorCUNY @CUNYResearch</p> <p>@apoorva_nyc @adamfeuerstein @davidamichaels @cayla_bam</p> <p>@QCMFunds @AlderLaneEggs @MicrobiomDigest</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1520085535137869824</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 90.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>\$SAVA doesn't have any IP https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1520112893706096640 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 90.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>There are many ongoing investigations, and at some point another axe will drop. Who and when, I don't know. CUNY will cooperate with and settle with the feds, and Wang will be thrown under the bus, because the only mission the Trustees take seriously is to cover their asses. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1520123174444314626 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 90.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I am just trying to help CUNY out before they embarrass themselves further.</p> <p>One thing I didn't have time to mention above (3 min limit) is that I actually wrote to someone there privately in March to give them a heads-up about what I knew.. and they chose to totally ignore me.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1520124002987032577</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 90.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I'm really sorry but you've been conned.</p> <p>That is tragic as you literally seem to have invested millions. But we all make mistakes, I assume you still have enough money and I there's always legal actions that can eventually be taken. I sincerely wish you good luck!</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1519923250008055809</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 90.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Nobody benefits from keeping a working AD drug off the market. Society would suffer and only sociopaths would even consider this.</p> <p>If there is overwhelming, clear evidence of potential fraud and a made-up MoA, however,</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>that should be acknowledged. Testing could go on in principle.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1520426577191911424</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 91.)</p>		<p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Only an rube like this guy could fall for the \$sava baloney... and @CharlesStonkson, apparently 😏... but even he is out by now.. not tough-guy CS. Buy more shares “chemist-boy”</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1521189123868053504</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 91.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>No but you could sue a company for knowingly using a forged document to manipulate the market 😊 \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1521257555460337665</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 91.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 274–280, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>People are short this fraud because the City University of New York could decapitate this stock any day now. http://Cassavafraud.com</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1521440080044470273 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 91.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Remi would like re-elect the directors (because they have done such a great job dealing with the scientific fraud), and give himself \$80m to divvy up among the 12 employees. \$sava bulls will lap this up b/c once you pass the dignity event horizon, what's another \$80m 🙌 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1521586256664268800 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 91.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
And then doing nothing with the CSF collected because Wang is under self-imposed house arrest 😞 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1521887394974343168 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 92.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Now we know why Remi hired this obvious bottom-feeder; to make the case that he needs 4m shares to attract better “talent” to pump \$sava stock 🙌🙌	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1521920008644964352 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 92.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I don't think the reason most "scientists" remain anonymous in their support of \$sava is fear of some tweets. It's because they are ashamed of supporting a scam or aren't really what they say they are... my opinion. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1522303437857304577 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 92.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
\$sava is a perfect example of what cannot be tolerated (fraud) in biomedical research. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1523676538658443266 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 92.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
\$sava now poster-boy for fraud... congrats Remi 🍌🍌🍌 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1523676546380095488 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 92.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>@Adrian_H giving CUNY notice that we will not allow a cover-up of \$sava Wang's scientific fraud. All investigation findings should be made public ASAP.</p> <p>@CUNYNeuro @CSOM_Official</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1523778067478421504</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 92.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Even little-ole Pete at Neurobiology of Aging could find the fraud in \$sava Wang's papers. But somehow couldn't find it within himself to call it intentional 🤔 14 erroneous select-copy-pastes on one figure and they were all "accidental" 😬</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1524738905991487489</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 92.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Wang then corrects his typo with another typo cc his lawyer and moves the discussion to a more secure channel. That's because how he attempts to explain his "innocent and regrettable" errors should never see the light of day, like how all good innocent science is done 🤔 \$sava</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1524740524703428608 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 92-93.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Note the blots had “selectable” insertions in the lanes. No dancing dust, compression artifacts or other such nonsense creates a selectable image within the blot 🌀🌀🌀 \$sava is a fraud based on Wang's fantasy story pasted together with images stored on his hard drive, literally https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/152474527772599296 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 93.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
The distinction between manipulation, error and misrepresentation is interesting for determining punishment and will be adjudicated in court later. At this juncture the relevant point is that many \$sava papers (and SEC filings) contain data and claims that are not true. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1525861341826846721 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 93.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Who cares? The image was “selectable”. Case closed (again) \$sava is a fraud.	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1527082440111468544 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 93.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Beginning to think \$sava data is completely made up 🙄 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1527384044526112770 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 93.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It seems \$sava unblinded Wang to time point in the P2b (allowing him to line up his gel lanes in order by time) just like they unblinded Wang to time point in the OL... kinda looking like a pattern here 🙄 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1527650227385249792 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 93.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 206–209, 211–214, 216–221, 223–226, 228–230, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Nice thing about shorting a ScamCo is there is always another 80% down to go 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1527769701421654016</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 93.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Remi was successfully sued for misleading his \$sava shareholders for financial gain (fraud). If that doesn't fit the profile, nothing does.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1528136885494763520</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 93.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 274–280, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It's a public company so it's definitely ethical to criticize. In fact, you rely on other members of the public to perform due diligence. There have been no lies. Bad mouthing comes with the territory when you perpetrate a fraud on vulnerable patients and gullible investors🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1529241793954553856</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 94.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>I have long ago given up on affecting the price of sava by tweeting (it doesn't). This is mostly just for fun, keeping a record and making the fraud public to discourage further fraud. That last part is kinda working as evidenced by Remi scaling back his claims and being quiet.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1529244353356566530</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 94.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 274–280, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>[Dr. Wang] has fabricated data repeatedly over a 20 year period. There has been no abuse or harassment. He is free to defend himself as I am to criticize.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1529246674933137409</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 94.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Sorry, I have to tweet when I see what I perceive to be an obvious case of fraud / abysmal scientific integrity.</p> <p>How about you pin a tweet saying “I was wrong, UCSF is NOT participating in \$SAVA trials because their data doesn't make any sense.”...</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1530963037905117184 (Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 94.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I'll bet you two clowns \$1000 each that there are no Cassava trials going on at UCSF -- on condition that that you put your names on it. But I am doubly safe, because I know you are pussies. https://twitter.com/sing3r/status/1532392398126338048 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 94.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Remi figured out that every time he tries to show data or publish he just makes \$sava look more like a fraud, therefore there will be no data and no publications 😞 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1531724537406029827 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 94.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Big SAVA news!!! new SEC filing? Nope. New PR from company? Nope.... Another pump-and-dump on nothing from a ScamCo? Yes 😞 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1531729699717713920	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 274–280, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 95.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Another editorial retraction for Dr.Hoau-Yan Wang, from a collaboration with Servier. (and even @brunovellas agrees!)</p> <p>It is all made up. \$SAVA @MicrobiomDigest https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s13195-022-01024-5</p> <p>very one of Wang's papers since 2001 or so is destined to be retracted, Wang is toast, and each new retraction makes those CUNY and NIH investigations a little bit easier.</p> <p>@HamiltonCollege @GradCenterBio @CUNY @CUNYNeuro @HHS_ORI @adamfeuerstein @apoorva_nyc @davidamichaels</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1531976464169680896</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 95.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
Once you realize that the foundation (NLX binding FLNA) is total BS, the shear mountain of fraud that \$sava has built upon this is actually impressive. Here is just one example from their patent (none of these experiments happened)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1531975409994194944 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 95.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
They will likely just sit on the shelf, as it is in nobody's financial interests to challenge a patent that is worthless. Eventually they will be filed in the Corporate Fraud Hall of Fame next to the Theranos Edison machine 😊 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1531997696109776897 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 95.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
We are just playing a tiny part in trying to shine a light on the Sava garbage “science”. The fraud is doing the heavy lifting behind the retractions, EoC, failure to publish and scuttling of SavaDX. If you want to blame someone look to Wang and Remi. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1532522197754978307 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 95.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 253–257, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
The thing is you won't stop this horrible disease by faking and/or p-hacking results. Wasting important resources is counterproductive and delays progress!!!	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>#ENDALZ</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1533862175332347906</p> <p>(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 96.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>This is most certainly the beginnings of an agreement between the NIH and @CSOM_Official to make sure that the spectacular fraud that Wang and \$sava embroiled @cuny in doesn't ever happen again. Shame on @CUNYNeuro and @Vgbcc1 for harboring these crooks for 20 years 😞</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1534639669719314432</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 96.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I'm expecting flowers and presents from bulls once \$sava crashes. We were trying to save them money for months and they will retroactively appreciate our efforts 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1534909256247480323</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 96.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>When you are running a fraud, one of the most important things is to have a strong astroturfing game. You need to make sure that your narrative is the dominant one, and you must try to discredit your detractors whenever possible. \$SAVA</p> <p>One of the main astroturfing fields of play is of course, Wikipedia. So it did not escape my attention that there have been a flurry of edits to the pages about Simufilam lately, as well as the article about Dr. Lindsay Burns (is she really notable?)</p> <p>There are some key points that are really important to the \$SAVA narrative, eg:</p> <p>"it's only about some old western blots" ...</p> <p>"the short sellers are behind it" ..</p> <p>"something something biomarker correlation"</p> <p>..</p> <p>"altered conformation"</p> <p>https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Simufilam&diff=1086034976&oldid=1086026264</p> <p>Never miss an opportunity to try to throw some shade on David Bredt..</p> <p>and most important, you must never forget that Dr. Lindsay Burns graduated magna cum laude</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>So, where is the prolific editor at 71.41.248.226 doing her editing from?</p> <p>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Special:Contributions/71.41.248.226</p> <p>..direct from the Cassava Sciences offices.</p> <p>So now you know how the \$SAVA VP of Neuroscience spends her days.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1536408761774092289</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 96-97.)</p>		
<p>It's a very impressive group of institutions that have DROPPED participation in \$sava clinical trials: Yale, Rush and now UCSF 🤔🤔🤔</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1536425782502899714</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 97.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The greatest medical discovery of our lifetime (reversing Alzheimer's) by \$sava has been stuck in “attempted publication” status for over a year 🤔 I wonder what the problem could be? @lindsaybbar any updates?</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1537065406111834112 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 97.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
This \$sava joke keeps getting funnier each month 🤔 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1537781878857637890 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 97.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Correct, all made up 👍 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1539721997932019716 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 98.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
\$sava using the old “dog ate my homework” excuse 🐶📖. But never fear look what some anonymous chuckleheads on Discord said about our “data” 🤔 (btw those originals never showed up 🙋)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1542831284912791555 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 98.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
And \$sava is a fraud from the “discovery” by Wang to the biomarker redo by Wang to the cherry-picked “first 50” by Remi to the deal to SavaDX to Femtomolar to... YOU, however, should buy more shares 😊 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1542891655577174016 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 98.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 253–257, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Yeah the story of \$sava being a complete fraud really hasn't changed, just more evidence piling up 🧐. Sorry it's not entertaining enough for you 😞. If you don't believe consistent stories of fraud with relentless evidence from multiple sources, keep buying more shares 👍 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1542893906177695747 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 98.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Why don't evil shorties give \$sava the benefit of the doubt? Because Remi and co have been shady for decades, and everyone knows it.</p> <p>Nice thread on the last time they tried commit fraud and how it turned out</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1544380423014387712</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 98.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Looks like \$sava Wang and Lindsay might have decreased their fraud workload by goosing the P2a first visit values and then just carry those numbers into the P2b and then the OL-extension, and those patients would be the first to complete so “first 50” takes on a new meaning 🤔</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1544385339581669380</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 98–99.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 206–209, 211–214, 216–221, 223–226, 228–230, 232–238, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Shame no-one told Remi and he had to embarrass himself by “requesting” one months later lol</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1544695064076632066</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 99.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Prob same person that takes care of samples labelling</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1544721080912338945</p> <p>(Miliore via Twitter. FAC App. A at 99.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Welp, it's official UCSF has dropped \$sava 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1544709605565112321</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 99.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Calling BS on \$sava seems to be catching on 👍</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1544710251403935744</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 99.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Throw in a serial fraudster CSO and a confidence man CEO and the probability goes to zero 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1544759200542507008</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 99.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>And \$sava fraud Wang presented him as an “independent expert” 😊 (I guess if Wang is an “outside lab” then Spruck is “independent” 🤔🤔)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1544789236683083776</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 99.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Probably so excited to cure AD in 28days they forgot to call Arnold</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1545136796224421890</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 99.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>oof! here he is again in the “development” of the, now defunct, SavaDX baloney (100% accurate at diagnosing AD from blood 😊)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 253–257, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>This dude has done more harm than good on AD, imo... way to go @harvardmed 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1545095193929699328</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 99.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>[responding to tweet about Theranos] You're next Wang and Remi \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1545131873210454016</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 100.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>@KarlHerrup in context this is basically an endorsement of \$sava 🙄 You should know better (we told you). Please explain how you fell for this obvious scam again, even after your pathetic appearance on @JoeSpringer show.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1545164521198821376</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 100.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Could be 😊</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 206–209, 211–214, 216–221,</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Or maybe they fudged the initial P2a carried them into 2b and then the OL So many possibilities 🤔</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1545467342909571074</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 100.)</p>	<p>223–226, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>As a matter of fact, it's a pattern that any institution with a shred of dignity wants nothing to do with \$sava 😏</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1546620378893762562</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 100.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>“as a coauthor with Dr. Wang on 7 publications and a memeber of the \$sava SAB board and an early collaborator on SavaDX... 🤖 I dunno if it's all fraud” Aparently they don't teach science or ethics at Harvard.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1546851349899448322</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 100.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 253–257, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>There is NO non-opioid binding site for Naloxone, much less a femtomolar one, much less a widespread one found in brain and lymphocytes. It's a stupid lie for people who have zero understanding of biology \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1546905342705025026</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 100.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Rumor is Wang is not in the lab, so nobody left that can run the photoshop machine.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1547261938245271553</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 101.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>What is it with Alzheimer's, research misconduct, and fraud?? More from @ScienceMagazine on \$SAVA and how it led to @schrag_matthew uncovering another huge Aß scandal.</p> <p>https://science.org/content/article/potential-fabrication-research-images-threatens-key-theory-alzheimers-disease</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1550188670849777665 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 101.)		
\$sava in the news again 🤔. This time as the poster-company (an entire inset sub panel on cassava and the fraudsters gang) for using fraud to hurt Alzheimer's Disease Research https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1550190242916868096 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 101.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Thinking maybe 'Blots on a Field' should be our nickname for the \$sava fraudsters and their enablers, catchy 👍 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1550208116465319938 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 101.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It's such a big market and there are so many gullible investors swimming around it that shady companies (\$atha \$avxl \$sava etc...) just can't help themselves to some evidence-	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>free hype-line based valuation 😬 Terrible for markets, investors, patients and society 😡</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1550214677631827968</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 101.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Wait, why does \$sava need to hire investigators to investigate themselves? Is Remi planning on throwing Lindsay under the bus? That'll make for some awkward breakfast conversations 😬</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1550221074155163655</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 101.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Hoo boy 😬 \$sava not having a good day in the headlines. In this one @MicrobiomDigest in her usual careful phrasing, states some of Cassava 's data “might have been falsified” 😬</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1550237489813651456</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 101.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$sava Fraudsters and enablers 🖐️</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1550250659022258179 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 102.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Wait, there have been MORE concerns raised by Schrag THIS year 🤔 \$sava Wang has been on a 20 year fraud spree and at this point @CUNYNeuro is essentially abetting it 🙄 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1550281136458317831 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 102.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
bye-bye 🙋 \$sava you parasites on society https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1550487519170596871 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 102.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
That's proof he didn't need to fake the data, but he did anyway 🙄 even Wang agreed to retract it 🙄. Why does Wang have a lawyer? https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1550604610947137537	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 102.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
This one is an old but fun \$sava lie. Here @lindsaybbar lies through her teeth while disparaging @MicrobiomDigest and then gets caught a month later and has to admit she was FOS 😏 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1550975929996001280 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 102.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Good point about the \$sava blots probably just the fraud we can see... there's tons we can't (but sava followers know 😏) https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1551012443308134402 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 102.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Same thing being discussed in each figure. I agree it's confusing, but I think the reason it's confusing is because it is not real data 🧐</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1551135460285730817</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 102.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Thermodynamics backwards 2. C14 and equilibrium time wrong 3. Femtomolar 4. Too many IC50s 4. Radio Silence from Author <p>Occam's Razor: Author with misunderstanding of pharmacology Made. It. Up.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1551202356502700034</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 103.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Me too. Of course the odds of that happening are about as good as Remi singing a deal or @charlesstonkson making good on a lost bet, or Wang providing original images, or @lindsaybbar apologizing for lying or</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>femtomolar binding or Simufilam curing AD... ZERO 🤔</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1551305475697917953</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 103.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Caveat, if dealing with fraud, the fraudster making “raw data” available isn’t really going to clear up the situation 🤖. In science we must be willing to be skeptical, even of the integrity of fellow scientists 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1551345039825068034</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 103.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>He’s lawyered-up and hasn’t responded to inquiries or made any public statements for over a year. Given his record of retractions based on falsified images, I don’t think “raw data” coming from him would be of any value. We need more skepticism and professionalized review 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1551375860321730560</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 103.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>And yet no other researcher has stooped as low as you have - shilling your book through demented stock promoters that insult any scientist that raises questions on \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1551558682844037121</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 103.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Here's another fun @lindsaybbar lie. After it was pointed out in a CP that the measurements in \$sava Simufilam femtomolar graph break the laws of physics, Lindsay tells her discord fan club it's a "typo" soon to be corrected..5 months later 😞 The math did not "work out"</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1551512193094533121</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 104.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>There are blots, read more carefully 😊 no time for foia. \$sava are criminals, \$axvl is simply slimy and deceptive, different leagues. You should own both.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1551990991619506176</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 104.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>



Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Apparently it's a culture of No Shame over at @CUNY \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552000159705436161</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 104.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Does CCNY take accusations of fraud very seriously? @CityCollegeNY</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552045866755801088</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 104.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>So, Remi, when exactly did you start getting subpoenas from DOJ, and why wasn't that disclosed properly & promptly? Did they "ask" or demand? Why did you only say "certain government agencies"?</p> <p>True, you haven't sold stock. Who has, and how have *you* been profiting? \$SAVA²⁴</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 274–280, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

²⁴ This tweet includes a screenshot of Cassava's July 27, 2022 press release.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1552352582915563520 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 104.)		
sure Joe will make lots of “tendies friendies” in prison ²⁵ https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1552276408818434049 (Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 104.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

²⁵ In reference to Jesse Brodtkin tweet: “Where’s the hysterical rambling audio release by Remi proclaiming his undying love for Wang and the DOJ is part of the “evil shorts” cabal” [] \$sava [emoji’s].”

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>\$SAVA reading was on the wall ...and online even http://cassavafraud.com @MicrobiomDigest @QCMFunds @jesse_brodkin @Adrian_H @PatricioMarceso @ClicksAndHisses myself & many others raised the alarm Time to bring the curtain down on this audacious fraud https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1552278539965272068 (Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 105.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>So many unfortunate little errors with this company... https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1552367996869525508 (Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 105.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>@CityCollegeNY When the SEC and DOJ beat you to the punch on a guy working under your supervision for 20 years, that pretty much says you DON'T take misconduct seriously. @Vgbcc1 \$sava</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552252901103378437 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 105.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Nice timing Vince  Slow-walking fraud investigation seems to have paid off... for now \$sava https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552254152088162304 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 105.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Pro-tip @lindsaybbar fraud is not “entirely new”.. \$sava  https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552262740290445312 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 105.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
That's the attitude that allows frauds to hang around and continue to pollute the industry and harm patients. If you have a big platform (like you), you should use it to help. “All that is required for evil...” you know the rest \$sava https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552274261812944896	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 105.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>I don't think increased focus on fraud in Alzheimer's companies is the "good news" you think it is. \$sava revelations will only increase scrutiny on what is already a pretty sketchy \$avxl story</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552291908009050113</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 105.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It's not nonsense, it's fraud and it hurts the field. \$sava was and is an easy call, how about now? Any thoughts on Cassava's chances of reversing AD?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552308657311866880</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 105.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Meanwhile in idiotville (SAVAges Discord chat) @lindsaybbar is continuing to encourage magical thinking that DOJ is actually going after shorts not \$sava... I mean it's not as dumb as Simufilam reverses AD but it's close 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552335573179895808</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 106.)		
<p>At this point I think a blood draw isn't worth the risk/benefit 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552367222185775105</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 106.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>You think a judge would take a look at the pile of Wang's retracted papers and conclude his science is legit? We're well past that stage kido</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1552551744101556226</p> <p>(Milioris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 106.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>[Re Dr. Lindsay Burns] Shameless hypocritical fraud and a Karen to boot 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552636026782466048</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 106.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Shorts attack fraudulent companies that are taking advantage of mom & pop. Shorts have been telling you to sell \$sava for a year. Look at the chart and see if that would have been good advice for mom and pop.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1552726016191258629</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 106.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Coming up next, the Wang-Burns cure for Monkeypox \$SAVA</p> <p>Remi actually already tried and failed at treating cancer 15 years ago.</p> <p>You seem to have changed your tune..</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1553036804432986112</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 107.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The messed-up every single biomarker assay 🤔. Like what are the chances 🤔</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1553056832284934145</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 107.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>“Statistics Person X” knew this “nails it” before Aug 3. Then the sketchy “bonus plan” Aug 26th, then the data release on September 14th... nothing to see here I’m sure \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1553066701343842305</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 107.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>A few subtleties got mangled, but I managed to call out Simufilam as “an imaginary drug that does nothing” in the Daily Mail \$SAVA²⁶</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1553552632308011009</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 107.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

²⁶ This tweet links to a dailymail.co.uk article titled “‘Fraudulent’ Alzheimer’s study gave false hope to families.”

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>@DOJCrimDiv ❤️ \$SAVA https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1553501876594905090 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 107.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>ICYMI over the weekend \$sava fraud news went global with a barn-burner of an article by @BarneyCalman covering our favorite celebrity fraudster as well as some frank talk regarding the enablers; editors and publishers (@MarinaP63 @JuanLerma1) 👍 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1554058783667666944 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 107.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I'm arguing with a company that takes advantage of people with special needs 🧐. This advocacy group should do better to defend the interests of the people they represent. Here, however, we are talking about taking advantage of Alzheimer's patients. https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1554820492388876288 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 107.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>The 100 patient data set is significantly ($P < 0.05$) worse than the "first 50" (vs -3.2), so this second set is really very different from previous data. It's almost like something happened to change \$sava data generating behavior in the interim (DOJ, SEC...)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1554847146880352256</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 108.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>\$sava seems to have gained a pretty big cohort on this latest update that is doing quite a bit (5) worse than the "first 50" 🍒 ... suspiciously close to placebo (sava slide deck 👉 😊)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1554857143886561280</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 108.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Hey Remi, did \$sava just throw away data from bad performers that dropped out? BTW, how many did drop out?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1554864742526861314</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 108.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Holy Cow! \$sava has been trying to hire a director for 10 months 🐱</p> <p>\$200m in the bank and they still can't buy a teammate 😞</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1554992286047551492</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 108.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Sorry guys, it was a trick question and the correct (98.8%) answer was:</p> <p>When a criminal gets a subpoena from the DOJ it tends to decrease their ongoing criminal behavior.... \$sava 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1555330111838224384</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 108.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Exactly how many crimes are you defending yourself against if you need \$400k PER MONTH in new legal representation? Asking for a friend \$sava 😊 Serious question, does Remi have more lawyers than employees?</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1555341632719003648 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 108.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I'd think it would be cheaper to re-run a few western blots and binding studies to put the scientific questions to rest. Unless \$sava was guilty af .. then it would make sense Occam's Razor 😊 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1555346954632192001 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 108.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Tell them just to call me, I'd be happy to walk them through the fraud for a mere \$40k/month 👍 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1555358062952808450 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 109.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Confirmation to a statistical 99% certainty that \$sava favorite data, the OL “first 50” was completely cherry-picked.. case closed https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1555525750228492290	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 109.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
The older data would actually make them look better, but “on the advice of counsel we decline to present data that would implicate us in a massive fraud” is the correct answer, imo 😊 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1557531844492595201 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 109.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Apparently things are pretty busy over there at \$sava with all of Remi's new reports (read: defense lawyers) because it seems they didn't have a chance to update this slide either 😞. Being a Good Samaritan 😊, I decided to do it for them (in red) 🙏 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1557791295057395714 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 109.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Your credence in error or AE is admirable, but please consider the probable answer is \$sava	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196,	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>never did these analyses and MADE IT ALL UP 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1558102135945961472</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 109.)</p>	<p>198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It turns out that after you rip off the government for \$20m, one of the first things they do is to STOP giving you any more money. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1559366695722049538</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 109.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>@CUNYResearch @CunyMedicine @ChancellorCUNY @CityCollegeNY @CUNYNeuro @Vgbcc1 seem to now see the @TheJusticeDept @DOJCrimDiv writing on the wall, and have moved into clean-up / damage control mode.. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1559380866626994177</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 109.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Top 🍌 Is the “second 50” +0.2, the bottom 🍒 is the “first 50”, the middle is both. My point is there is a statistically significant difference 1st vs 2nd which is most parsimoniously explained by cherry-picking the first 50 and then when the feds got interested the data “moved”</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1559381810903228417</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 109-110.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Quanterix immediately issued a statement that they were not responsible for the figures being questioned. They were blinded so, you would have to trust that Remi didn't mess with the “results” he got back from Quanterix, which I don't.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1559382443521703936</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 110.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The mice cuts WERE duplicated, as we said all along. Wang and Burns finally admitted it, after initially lying about it with some very odd confidence 🧑 conclusion: Lindsay lies. @lindsaybbar</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1559388576047054849 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 110.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
basically it shows that CUNY and his last remaining colleagues recognize that Wang is radioactive, and are starting to throw him under the bus. \$SAVA https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1559531855778652161 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 110.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Might be time for Sandy Robertson to take an MMSE test. \$SAVA Robertson is going to regret blowing \$20m and immolating his reputation and legacy in the greatest biotech dumpster-fire of the last two decades. \$SAVA https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1559669678561181696 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 110.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>7 retractions with 25 to come \$SAVA²⁷</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1559686672190767106</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 110.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Theranos²</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1559669678561181696</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 110.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>*rofl* This is all so incredibly retarded (and therefore incredibly fascinating to watch).</p> <p>Enjoy the ride, I suppose!</p> <p>https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1559920054136983555</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

²⁷ This tweet includes a spreadsheet with various Cassava publications and retractions thereof.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 110-111.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Also, to be clear, I haven't libeled anyone. I'm free to express facts and my opinions on stocks, science, & people. It is an obvious fact that Wang and Burns are scientific frauds (see pubpeer). I leave the rest to DOJ. My original tweet also had nothing to do with this stuff.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1560225621644648449</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 111.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>that JPAD paper also cites two already-retracted papers and 3 more that have expressions of concern Simuflimflam: It's ALL MADE UP.TM http://simuflimflam.com \$SAVA²⁸</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/156029111616356352</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 111.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

²⁸ This tweet includes a screenshot of the references in a JPAD paper with highlighting brackets around papers by Dr. Wang.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>[Twitter poll] What is Remi's next irrelevant and manipulative pump going to be about? \$SAVA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAVA pivot back to opioids • Lindsay Wins Silver Medal • Wang gets new job in DPRK • Sandy enrolls in OL trial <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1560302038763929600</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 111.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>They got it. Their behavior is disgraceful and pathetic, and they are going to end up with a lot of egg on their faces. \$SAVA</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1560310607437512705</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 111.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>There is no leeway for fabricating data.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1560310916981342209</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 111.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
If Ph2a in JPAD was a cry for help, what is the Phase 2B preprint that Lindsay never could get published anywhere?? \$SAVA it is going to get published on this new preprint server called PACER eventually \$SAVA https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1560363837056077824 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 112.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It is ALL MADE UP. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1560409275591282695 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 112.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
\$sava plans get funnier by the year	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221,	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1560360462142914560 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 112.)	228–230, 253–257, 286–287	Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Fraud / data manipulation is apparently something different than acute danger to health. https://twitter.com/PatricioMarceso/status/1560276041968807937 (Markey via Twitter. FAC App. A at 112.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
For example, if a group of people were to conspire to fabricate scientific evidence in order to deceive the market into thinking that their paper describing a drug was legitimate, in order to sell overvalued stock, that might be securities fraud. Or, just as a thought experiment, if someone was to fabricate scientific data and use that data in a grant application to NIH, in order to deceive the government, thus obtaining millions of dollars in grants, that might be fraud too.	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1560622747646033920 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 112.)		
<p>Point is that no matter what the biomarker values were, the argument \$SAVA made about keeping or throwing out data based on placebo correlations was 100% absurd & wrong, even if Dr. Mark Johnson can't get his 4 cosplay characters to understand. It's crazy, and all made up.</p> <p>There is data looking at how these biomarkers are correlated with each other and disease progression over months and years. There may be statistically significant correlations, but not an R2 of 0.95, and not over 28 days. It's nonsense.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1561023161230970880 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 112-113.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>WANG 🍌 MADE 🍌 UP 🍌 DATA. Fact. Wang is a total fraud. IT IS ALL MADE UP. NOTHING CAN BE RELIED UPON. \$SAVA</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>https://pubpeer.com/search?q=Hoau-Yan+Wang²⁹</p> <p>Mark, I am citing the journal retractions that say that data were made up. And I didn't say anybody committed crimes; I said Wang made up data, and he's a scientific fraud.</p> <p>I leave the question of crimes to @DOJCrimDiv and @jbeidel to sort out</p> <p>Maybe @jbeidel can also advise on whether engaging in a conspiracy to manipulate the price of a security by fabricating scientific data would be a crime. Hypothetically, of course.</p> <p>Would a DOJ prosecutor go with securities fraud, wire fraud, or conspiracy?</p> <p>Or fabricating data used to obtain an NIH grant.. would that be a crime?</p> <p>So many fascinating legal questions for the experts to consider.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1561166353318420486</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 113.)</p>		<p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Mark Johnson (Dr) is a chronic underachiever whose mental health issues (possibly due to</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p>

²⁹ This tweet links to various PLOS ONE retractions of Dr. Wang's papers.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>repressed sexuality) have confined him to the lowest ranks of his industry - smth he desperately tries to make up for thru fake online personas Sue me for libel + Sava data are made up (tm)³⁰</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1560880668984446981</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 114.)</p>		<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Alzscammers³¹</p> <p>https://twitter.com/DRnotaDR/status/1561041038923501575</p> <p>(Miloris via Twitter. FAC App. A at 114.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>A \$2m (not \$20m) insider purchase hours before an “exonerating” non-public email from a journal is entirely consistent with securities fraud exactly the kind of behavior that would be of interest to, the ongoing SEC investigation of \$sava</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 267–272, 274–280, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

³⁰ Comment in a thread about Wang and Sava

³¹ Comment in a thread about Wang and Sava

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1561400337306554368 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 114.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Tend to think the; “they’re not so dumb as to commit an obvious crime in full daylight” is not very persuasive, see Femtomolar, Reversing AD, breaking laws of thermodynamics and this doozy 🤔 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1561412871241830400 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 114.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
They weren't mistakes. The variability was as expected; the drug did nothing; Lindsay was making up nonsense. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1562252888381988864 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 114.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I FOIA'd the NYCHD for evidence that Wang actually ran radioligands (2014-19). C14-Simufilam for femtomolar and H3-Naloxone	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>for Simufilam “discovery” were nowhere to be found 😏. This could be a lead for @SECEnfDirector in \$sava investigation.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1562397753363337216</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 114.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Hey \$sava this is a one day assay, pay a reputable independent laboratory to replicate your findings. For \$20k you could probably add \$300m MC easy (assuming you aren't frauds)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1430687757676994568</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 115.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It's all an elaborate scheme to appear like a fraud in order to trap the shorts and rid the world of evil 🤔</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1562938012144656384</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 115.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
Data cooking 😊 \$sava	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1562938359315677184 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 115.)		Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
No biology experiment (ever) shows 0.97 correlation between biochemical measures, much less a dozen that are overall unchanged. This graph alone is proof-positive of data manipulation of clinical trial data by \$sava and Wang 🙄 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1563997736881586177 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 115.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Here's another straight-up admission of data manipulation; first and second cohort (pills vs cherries) of patients are statistically significantly different for no reason other than an investigation of data manipulation was started between the cohort readouts \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1564000619697930240</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 115.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>The entire basis for their drug “discovery” has been retracted. There is no non-opioid Naloxone binding site (where Simufilam supposedly binds). It started with a lie and just got out of control necessitating larger and larger lies to keep the story going \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1564003968551895046</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 115.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>They've been lying for so long they have gotten sloppy. Here they got the thermodynamics backwards. If Simufilam binds AD-FilaminA with higher affinity (left curve) then that's the conformation that is increased with addition of Simufilam (i.e. causes AD) \$sava</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1564005563725484032 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 115.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I don't know what the expiration data on this dumb attempt at a pump based on a total lie is, but at 1+ years I think we can safely say Remi was FOS when he pumped a "deal" @sava https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1564007181124599810 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 116.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 274–280, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Apparently lying to pump stock price runs in the family. Here Mrs Barbier lies through her teeth in writing that her baloney femtomolar claim is correct and a typo will clear it all up (narrator: IT DIDN'T) \$sava https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1564008067628400641 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 116.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 274–280, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Yeah that's a good one, but I'm going to have to raise you one where @lindsaybbar tells on herself for lying.... (Pro-tip, now would be a good time for an apology Lindsay 😊) https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1564291966447353857	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 116.)		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>The level of fraud with \$sava is way beyond just securities, so this is probably the right move for the SEC to hand off to the DOJ, imo.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1564322010171334656</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 116.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Don't forget the quarterly spinal taps of elderly sick patients for CSF biomarkers. \$sava sociopaths</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1564339560615665673</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 116.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>👉.. I'm on the record by name, not some anonymous coward jerk account, and stand by everything I've said. And it's all true, \$sava is a fraud, Wang is a fabulist etc... It's going to be pretty hard to get a prosecutor to push a case against critics of a fraud 🙏</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1564394717240074240 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 116.)		Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Guys, it really doesn't matter, because IT IS ALL MADE UP™ You are wrong; No journals have “cleared” Wang of anything. All of Wang's work for the last two decades was made up. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1564663123474366466 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 116.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Wang just generated an extraordinary amount of scientific fraud that they need to document. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1564665351656333312 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 116.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
No one has “cleared” \$sava (no evidence of intentional manipulation is not clearing). 7 papers retracted and 2 EoC and 2 Erratums. Testimonials prove nothing (ask Remi). Not even Cassava claims the drug “works”. Seems	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>safe (just like jelly beans) 👍 You need biology skills 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1564743500628901890</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 117.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Anyone who simply reads Wang's papers carefully will also conclude that it is all unadulterated nonsense. But Frank or Mark doesn't get it, because for all his insults and posturing, he is not capable of reading and evaluating a biology paper.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1565470345460649984</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 117.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>No journal is interested in publishing the disproof of obvious crap. The way it works is you get the journals to retract bullshit, which is what we've been doing and continue to do 😊 \$sava...</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1565463219891802113</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 117.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Bust fraudsters in a field I care about, that's it. I used to be short as well for money, but after seeing how irrational the share holders are I've got better investment ideas.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1565482331997085697</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 117.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Slow, but I have confidence the truth (\$sava is a fraud) will become apparent to DOJ, CUNY... and justice will be served. I'm proud of my efforts and hope that I have helped them. Now get a new hobby rather than pestering me.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1565487383356792833</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 117.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>By contributing to outing a fraudulent company. Frauds are terrible for society and we are better off without them. You're welcome 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1565518399052062721</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 117.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>It's September and \$sava has 100 more Open Label subjects' data. How long will Remi hide the data and avoid any and all questions? Delay not due to blinding (it's OL) nor lab work on biomarkers (Wang's magic license has been suspended), and only 16 sites.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1565729156326883328</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 117.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Who knew what when and anyone's potential criminal liability is independent of the fact that the “drug” is fake, the preclinical research was fake, the biomarker data were faked, and the cognitive data was cherry-picked. IT IS ALL MADE UP.</p> <p>Unless they have samples banked, \$SAVA will never be able to report 12 month OL biomarker data, because they relied on Wang</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>to fabricate the baseline and 6 month biomarker data. That little game is over.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1566191479432925188</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 118.)</p>		<p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Kupiec has zero to do with \$SAVA problems which pre-date him. The Ph3 is being run fine, albeit with a fake drug under false pretenses. But Kupiec can quite reasonably claim ignorance, and he is just going to keep collecting his salary until the jig is up.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1566212883285000194</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 118.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 247–251, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>No, I don't know when, and I don't give advice. But I know the difference between kinetics and thermodynamics. I also know the drug is fake, does not bind its supposed target, and I know there are still multiple ongoing investigations.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/truant2tb/status/1566028119320018944</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 118.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>If \$sava are spending \$100m/yr with 400/1700 patients enrolled... \$200m does not get you to the end of either P3.... Where is that big deal that was going to finance this ScamCo ? 📱</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1567656846323761154</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 118.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 247–251, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>😬 Sheesh, how bad are things at \$sava that Remi needs to PR the fact that a paper WASN'T retracted 🤔 BTW, how's that 2021 publication of the P2b results going @lindsaybbar?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1568054646744268801</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 118.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Matt only wants to cry crocodile tears about imaginary offenses against others, instead of waking up to reality and only thing that actually matters -- which is that all of Wang's research was nonsense and Simufilam is an imaginary drug.</p> <p>https://pubpeer.com/search?q=Hoau-Yan+Wang³²</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1568653474539372545</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 118-119.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>that was the only place Wang could get all his foundational Simuflimflam nonsense published 15 years ago.. and the PLoS editors today have a lot of experience with this sort of thing and are on the ball³³</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1568674411040964608</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 119.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 198–203, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

³² This tweet links to PubPeer results for posts related to Dr. Wang.

³³ This tweet is in reference to a PLOS retraction.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>IT'S ALL MADE UP. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1568825495386554369 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 119.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Or even just do the 12 month open label biomarkers at a reputable (not @CUNYNeuro) lab. They have the samples, they've promised the data... and yet \$sava behaves as if ONLY Wang can "produce" the data they want 😞 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569019916514201601 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 119.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>If \$sava reproduces Simufilam binding, even just in the picomolar range, I promise to never mention them or Remi again, cover my short, donate \$1000 to #endalz, eat my hat live on Springer's show, and withdraw all my outstanding FOIA requests.... Deal @lindsaybbar @jbeidel ?</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569023472097017859 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 119.)		Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
At this point, \$sava's unwillingness to engage using data (rather than hysterical rants about "da ebil shorts") is pretty much a confession 🤔 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569024656702689280 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 120.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
Imagine spending \$4m/quarter for defense lawyers rather than \$50k to an academic lab to run a binding study... \$sava 🤔 https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569025179992350721 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 120.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It's that it's all made up! Starting from 15 years ago, right up to the P2b & OL, and Remi know it	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 240–245, 267–272, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569027601292996608 (Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 120.)		Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
It is interesting that Wang is no longer trying to fabricate new data to deal with these.. Maybe CUNY has stuck a fork in that, or maybe Wang and his counsel now see the writing on the wall.. \$SAVA @jbeidel https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1569322745904906240 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 120.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 198–203, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I guess the editors don't appreciate it when somebody made up data and lied to them about 6 other papers https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1569327236632772610 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 120.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 198–203, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
I guess \$4m/quarter gets you lawyers that tell you to stop committing more fraud. They've	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>got enough on their hands to deal with. Good call @jbeidel 😊</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569310669551579139</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 120.)</p>		<p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>I should never have doubted him, but Remi is proving himself capable of presenting a more vacuous talk at HCW than Shankar. \$SAVA \$OCGN</p> <p>“it worked.. but it didn’t work” \$SAVA</p> <p>the data is all made up, but we have no debt</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1569777177222160384</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>You’d think Lindsay would have made him practice, and she could have explained some of the fake \$SAVA science that Remi claims not to fully understand.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1569840401493680128</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>Remi says in his \$sava presentation that SavaDX “worked, but it didn’t work” 😞 Remi this looks like the single best blood assay for Alzheimer’s EVER seen, are you saying ITS ALL MADE UP?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569783214956335106</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 253–257, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Who tf describes a standard deviation as “very honest”? I’ll tell you, REMI the CEO of \$sava (I wonder what was on his mind that led him to use “honest” as a way to describe his data 😞)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569793506373156867</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>This 🖐️ is a Dr. Steven Arnold (Harvard and former \$sava SAB member) laboratory product. I wonder if he has any thoughts on his work product being trashed as too variable and in need of re-working the methods? I won’t</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>hold my breath for an answer 😬 (because it's BS 😬)</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569800636085985289</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)</p>		<p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>you don't even need the two proteins measured. Protein 1 will diagnose AD with 100% accuracy.... it's amazing! (in a Theranos-kind of way 😬) \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1569803149229039626</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 121.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Oh look, it seems that SavaDX collaborator Joel Ross is an admitted crook in the largest insider trade in history. A perfect fit for \$sava and Steve Arnold 👍</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1570041764563525633</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 253–257, 259–265, 274–280, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>except Theranos only defrauded investors and patients, not the government</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1570553239720046592 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)		Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
\$SAVA chumps getting played again, with another pump based on two week old non-news The SEC did a major investigation, and is not going ahead with enforcement at the moment. Score one for the bulls. Remember the SEC closed early investigations into Madoff too. I suspect the SEC may revisit things after the other agencies investigating are done.. https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572268769765953536 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358 Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
as with everything about \$SAVA, IT'S ALL MADE UP™ https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572321603341484035 (Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287	Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290-291, 294 Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301 Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315 Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330 Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346 Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Tide has not turned. The science is fraudulent and the drug is fake. It ticks me off when I am up 5x on my hedges though.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572430656797573120</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 175–178, 180–188, 190–196, 198–203, 211–214, 216–221, 228–230, 232–238, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>SEC deciding they could not take enforcement action on violations of the securities law right now has zero bearing on the drug being fake or potential violation of other law.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572434376574603266</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 122.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>and FDA gets a lot of things wrong. Reality still exists. The drug is fake, Wang is a fraud, and the \$SAVA charade is going to come crashing down one of these days.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572445121509232643</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 123.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>Play stupid games, win stupid prizes.</p> <p>All of these \$SAVA / Wang adjacent cheats and frauds are going to get hoist by their own petards.</p> <p>@MicrobiomDigest smacked down Talbot's pathetic excuses very effectively. The eraser tool artifacts are my favorite.</p> <p>https://pubpeer.com/publications/603286311C3DC6766716B01565CA72#13</p> <p>didn't any of these people learn in kindergarten that you get in WAY MORE TROUBLE IF YOU KEEP LYING AFTER BEING CAUGHT? \$SAVA</p> <p>and that goes double if you continue to lie after being caught by the DOJ, @lindsaybbar @hoauyanwang \$SAVA what do you think, @jbeidel? From your SDNY experience, is lying to the FBI an effective strategy for somebody under criminal investigation?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572628086545387526</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 123.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 267–272, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
When you need fake Westerns, Wang is your man.	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 180–188, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>When you need signaling data from dead frozen tissue, call Konrad.</p> <p>Seems like everybody in AD at @PennMedicine (and then Rush) knew it (Arnold, Hahn, Arvanitakis, etc.)</p> <p>The nexus is that both Wang and Talbot trained and worked with the same group of asleep-at-the-switch senior people at @PennMedicine @PennNeurology where they were encouraged / tolerated in development of their unique fabrication skillsets</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572654213301260289</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 123.)</p>		<p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>it looks more like he did it in MS Paint³⁴</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1572733414415159296</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 180–188, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

³⁴ This tweet is in reference to western blot images.

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>it is not about shortcomings or a questionable track record or chance of success. The drug is a 100% fraud.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1573080297587245056</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Does fraud have 2 sides?</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1573051972642537474</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Nope, I mean fraud \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1573053896326631426</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
		Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365
<p>this is not a ghostwritten clinical trial though.. this is just made up biochemistry</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1573348275331153921</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>If you are going to conjure up a fake drug, make it some pleiotropic and confusing natural product. Don't discover a femtomolar ligand in a directed screen to bind a specific peptide.</p> <p>https://twitter.com/Adrian_H/status/1573437422943223808</p> <p>(Heilbut via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>“Falling off a cliff” describes what one could see as “non-responders” age and effect an ADAS-Cog score by TIME. But his implication (lie) is that this would be expected in the update, which will be adding more</p>	FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 286–287	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p>

Dot.com Defendants' Defamatory Statements ¹	Cassava's Allegation(s) of Falsity	Cassava's Allegations of Actual Malice
<p>subjects (N=200, and he's seen this data), NOT more time 🤪 \$sava</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1573395697373945856</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>		<p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>
<p>Remi was being completely misleading, you can't deny that. OL data > data which doesn't exist, imo 🙄</p> <p>https://twitter.com/jesse_brodkin/status/1573447687814664192</p> <p>(Brodkin via Twitter. FAC App. A at 124.)</p>	<p>FAC ¶¶ 162–167, 240–245, 286–287</p>	<p>Improper Motive: FAC ¶¶ 290–291, 294</p> <p>Lack of Evidence: FAC ¶¶ 295–301</p> <p>Contradictory Information: FAC ¶¶ 302–315</p> <p>Common Knowledge in the Scientific Community: FAC ¶¶ 316–330</p> <p>Purposeful Avoidance of the Truth: FAC ¶¶ 331–346</p> <p>Inherently Improbable: FAC ¶¶ 347–358</p> <p>Repetition and Republication: FAC ¶¶ 359–365</p>